

# Finite symmetries in dimension four: 10 open questions – in memory of Slawomir Kwasik

Weimin Chen

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Massachusetts at Amherst

November 4, 2022

We shall discuss 10 open questions about finite group actions on 4-manifolds. The answers to many of these questions are **beyond the reach by the current techniques**, so hopefully these questions may stimulate inventions of new ideas or methods. On the other hand, we choose these questions in order to explore the differences between the **locally-linear**, **smooth**, **symplectic**, and **holomorphic** categories in the study of finite group actions in dimension 4, and to understand the subtleties of some of the issues in group actions when being considered under the different categories.

# Locally linear versus smooth: the 4-sphere

The 4-sphere  $S^4$  is the **lowest dimensional** sphere which admits **non-linear**, smooth, finite group actions.

(i) Nonlinear actions with **co-dimension 1 fixed-point set**

**Theorem**(Poenaru 1960, Mazur 1961, de Rham 1962) There exist smooth involutions on  $S^4$  whose fixed-point set is a  $Z$ -homology 3-sphere  $\Sigma \neq S^3$ .

(ii) **Co-dim 2 fixed-point set**- counterexample of Smith Conjecture

**Theorem**(Giffen 1966) There exist smooth  $Z_p$ -actions, for odd  $p$ , on  $S^4$  whose fixed-point set is a knotted 2-sphere.

(iii) **Free actions**

**Theorem**(Fintushel-Stern, 1981) There exists a smooth free involution on  $S^4$  which is not equivalent to the antipodal map.

## Locally linear versus smooth: the 4-sphere

Thus, the “linearity” question in dimension 4 necessarily takes a weaker form: **if a finite group  $G$  acts on  $S^4$  locally linearly (resp. smoothly), is  $G$  necessarily isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(5)$ ?**  
(First studied by Mecchia-Zimmermann, 2006, 2011)

**Theorem**(C-Kwasik-Schultz, 2015)

If a finite group  $G$  acts locally linearly and orientation preservingly on a homology 4-sphere, then  $G$  must be isomorphic to a subgroup of  $SO(5)$ .

**Remarks:** There exists a finite group  $G$  acting **topologically** and orientation preservingly on  $S^4$  (semifreely with two fixed points) such that  $G$  is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $SO(5)$ .

Thus, we have a **complete understanding** for the case of **orientation preserving actions**.

## Question 1

Does there exist a locally linear, orientation reversing action on  $S^4$  by a finite group  $G$ , where  $G$  is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(5)$ ?

## Remarks:

(1) There exist orientation reversing, **topological** actions by finite groups  $G$  on  $S^4$ , where  $G$  is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(5)$ . However, the locally-linear case is still open.

(2) A strategy for constructing orientation reversing, locally-linear actions on  $S^4$  by some of the Milnor groups was proposed by C-Kwasik–Schultz, 2015 (using topological surgery theory). It is possible that Question 1 has an affirmative answer.

## Question 2

If an orientation reversing action of a finite group  $G$  on  $S^4$  is smooth, must  $G$  be isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(5)$ ?

### Remarks:

- (1) Constructing an example of a **smooth** action on  $S^4$  by a finite group  $G$  which is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(5)$  seems to be quite difficult.
- (2) On the other hand, how to do gauge theory in a **non-orientable** setting is unclear.
- (3) Is it necessary that the smooth structure on  $S^4$  be **standard**?

## An Observation:

For all the results obtained so far concerning smooth finite group actions on  $S^4$ , an alternative proof can be found which works in the locally-linear category, so the same result holds true for locally linear actions on a homology 4-sphere. In other words, the smoothness assumption becomes unnecessary.

This said, if the answers to both Question 1 and Question 2 are affirmative, then this would be the first example to indicate that the locally-linear and smooth categories are different for finite group actions on  $S^4$ .

# Rigidity and smooth structure: the $K3$ surface

The study of smooth actions is largely modeled on holomorphic actions (i.e. finite automorphism groups of  $K3$  surfaces).

**Homological Rigidity:** Any nontrivial automorphism of a  $K3$  surface induces a nontrivial isometry of the  $K3$  lattice.

**Classification:** Finite automorphism groups of  $K3$  surfaces have been classified, which are called  **$K3$  groups**. Those which fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form are called **symplectic  $K3$  groups**. There are 11 maximal groups, and there is a large body of literature.



## Question 3

Does there exist a nontrivial periodic diffeomorphism of the **standard**  $K3$  surface which is homologically trivial?

**Remarks:** This question was asked by Alan Edmonds (See Kirby's Problem List, Problem 4.124(B)). There exist nontrivial, locally linear, periodic homeomorphisms of the  $K3$  surface of any odd prime order which are homologically trivial.

Since this question was proposed, there has been a lot of new developments concerning **exotic smooth structures** on  $K3$  surface.

Perhaps, if we insist that the smooth structure be **standard**, would the answer be **negative**? With the current techniques, this seems to be a long shot.

## Symplectic symmetries of the standard $K3$ surface

**Theorem**(C-Kwasik, 2007, 2011)

(1) A nontrivial symplectic finite group action on the standard  $K3$  surface must be homologically nontrivial.

(2) If a finite group  $G$  acts on the standard  $K3$  surface via symplectic symmetries such that the induced action on  $H^{2,+}$  is trivial, then  $G$  must be isomorphic to a symplectic  $K3$  group.

Moreover, the fixed-point set structure of the symplectic  $G$ -action must coincide with that of a holomorphic  $G$ -action.

**Remarks:** The condition "standard smooth structure" can be replaced by the condition " $c_1 = 0$ ".

**Theorem**(C-Kwasik, 2011, C, 2020) Let  $G = T_{48} \times Z_2$ . If a symplectic homotopy  $K3$  surface admits a symplectic  $G$ -action, then it must be the standard  $K3$  surface.

## Question 4

Does there exist a smooth action on a homotopy  $K3$  surface by a finite group which is not a  $K3$  group?

## Remarks:

Since we do not require the smooth structure be standard, perhaps this is achievable with existing techniques.

Note that  $G = Z_p$ , where  $p$  is prime, is a  $K3$  group if and only if  $p \leq 19$ . On the other hand, a smooth  $Z_p$ -action, for  $p > 19$ , on a homotopy  $K3$  surface must be homologically trivial.

## Symplectic symmetries on homotopy $K3$ surfaces

### Question 5

Must a symplectic symmetry of a homotopy  $K3$  surface induce a faithful representation on the  $K3$  lattice?

### Question 6

Does there exist a symplectic exotic  $K3$  surface which admits no symplectic  $Z_p$ -actions for some prime number  $p \leq 19$ ?

### Remarks:

One can arrange to have exotic smooth structures on  $K3$  surface such that some of the  $K3$  groups (e.g.  $T_{24}$ ) can no longer act smoothly (C-Kwasik, 2008). The arguments require the  $K3$  groups to have a somewhat "complicated" group structure, even if the group actions are assumed to be symplectic.

# Bounding the order: 4-manifolds of "general type"

**Theorem**(Hurwitz, 1893) Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g > 1$ . Then the automorphism group of  $\Sigma$  is finite, and its order obeys

$$|Aut(\Sigma)| \leq 84(g - 1) = 42degK_{\Sigma}.$$

**Theorem**(G. Xiao, 1994) Let  $X$  be a minimal surface of general type. Then

$$|Aut(X)| \leq (42)^2 c_1(K_X)^2.$$

**Remarks:** Xiao's theorem has been extended to higher dimensional minimal, non-singular varieties of general type by C.D. Hacon, J. Mckernan and C. Xu.

# Bounding the order: 4-manifolds of "general type"

## The locally linear topological category

**Theorem**(Kwasik, 1986) The fake  $CP^2$  admits a locally linear, topological  $Z_p$ -action for any odd prime  $p$ , but admits no locally linear, topological  $Z_2$ -actions.

**Remarks:** Note that the fake  $CP^2$  admits no locally linear, topological  $S^1$ -actions.

**Theorem**(Edmonds, 1987) Let a closed, simply-connected 4-manifold  $M$  be given. For any prime number  $p > 3$ , there exists a locally linear, pseudo-free, topological  $Z_p$ -action on  $M$ , which is homologically trivial.

**Remarks:** There exist no locally linear, topological  $S^1$ -actions on a simply-connected 4-manifold with even intersection form and nonzero signature (Fintushel, 1977).

# Bounding the order: 4-manifolds of "general type"

## Beyond the locally linear topological category

**Theorem**(C, 2011) Let  $M$  be a compact complex surface with  $\kappa(M) \geq 0$ . Suppose  $M$  does not admit any **holomorphic**  $S^1$ -actions. Then there exists a universal constant  $c > 0$  such that for any prime order, **holomorphic**  $Z_p$ -action on  $M$ , one has

$$p \leq c(1 + b_1 + b_2 + |\text{Tor}H_2|).$$

**Theorem**(C, 2011) For any prime number  $p \geq 5$ , there is a symplectic 4-manifold  $M_p$ , where  $M_p$  is homeomorphic to  $CP^2 \# 9\overline{CP^2}$  and  $\kappa^s(M_p) = 1$ , such that  $M_p$  admits a **symplectic**  $Z_p$ -action, but admits no **smooth**  $S^1$ -actions.

**Remarks:**  $c_1(K_{M_p}) = (2p - 1)[T]$  for some  $[T] \in H_2(CP^2 \# 9\overline{CP^2})$ .

# Bounding the order: 4-manifolds of "general type"

**Theorem**(C, 2014) There exist smooth 4-manifolds  $X_n$  with  $b_2^+ > 1$ , where  $n \geq 2$ , such that the manifolds  $X_n$  have the same integral homology, intersection form, and Seiberg-Witten invariant, and each  $X_n$  supports no smooth  $S^1$ -actions but admits a smooth  $Z_n$ -action. Moreover, the Seiberg-Witten invariant of  $X_n$  is nonzero.

**Remarks:** (1) The fundamental group of  $X_n$  is increasingly more and more "complicated" as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) In higher dimensions, there are examples of manifolds which supports no smooth  $S^1$ -actions but admits smooth  $Z_p$ -actions for infinitely many primes  $p$ .

(3) In dimension 3, for any closed 3-manifold  $M$ , the order of finite subgroups of  $Diff(M)$  is bounded unless  $M$  admits a  $S^1$ -action.



## Question 7

Let  $X$  be a simply-connected, even, and smoothable topological 4-manifold which has nonzero signature. Does there exist a constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $X$ , such that there are no smoothable  $Z_p$ -actions on  $X$  for any prime  $p > C$ ?

## Question 8

Let  $X$  be a minimal symplectic 4-manifold of  $\kappa^5(X) = 2$ . Does there exist a universal constant  $c > 0$  such that for any finite subgroup  $G$  of symplectomorphisms, the order of  $G$  satisfies the following bound?

$$|G| \leq c \cdot c_1^2(TX)$$

**Background:** Primary examples of finite group actions on 4-manifolds are provided by automorphism groups of algebraic surfaces (or more generally, holomorphic actions on Kähler surfaces); in the case of  $S^4$ , primary examples are given by the restrictions of linear actions on  $R^5$ . A natural question asks whether there are "exotic" smooth actions which deviate from these standard ones. A basic method of producing exotic actions has been to construct actions whose fixed-point set structures are non-standard. However, if one requires the exotic actions to resemble the standard actions in some strong way, then the construction becomes considerably much harder.

## Question 9

Does there exist a symplectic finite group action on a Kähler surface which is not smoothly equivalent to a holomorphic action?

## Question 10

Are there any orientation-preserving, pseudo-free, smooth finite cyclic actions on a simply-connected 4-manifold, which are topologically equivalent but smoothly non-equivalent?

**Remarks:** (1) Concerning Question 9, if a symplectic finite group action has a 2-dimensional fixed-point set, then each component is an embedded symplectic surface. "Knotting" of such surfaces is harder, although it is not entirely impossible. On the other hand, symplectic  $Z_n$ -actions on  $CP^2$  or a Hirzebruch surface are smoothly equivalent to a holomorphic action.

(2) Concerning Question 10, since the smooth actions are to be pseudo-free, making the 2-dimensional fixed components "knotted" is no longer an applicable method. On the other hand, the topological classification of pseudo-free actions is practically manageable only for "small" 4-manifolds such as  $S^4$ ,  $CP^2$ , or a Hirzebruch surface. This limitation also makes the question harder.

The End

Thank You !