

KURANISHI SPACES FOR ANALYTIC DGLA'S

PETER DALAKOV

Start with a geometric object X . Its moduli “space” should also be some kind of a geometric object. Optimistically, expect a complex space or a scheme (with a marked point). Pessimistically, study a formal neighborhood of a marked point.

More precisely, we study “deformation functors”

$$Art \rightarrow Sets,$$

where Art is a category of local Artin rings. Usually $A \in Art$ maps to an isomorphism class of objects in $C/Spec A$ that specialize to X at the closed point of $Spec A$, where C is some category of geometric objects with a notion of “families parametrized by a scheme”, i.e. a category fibered over the category of schemes.

0.1. DEFINITION. A *dgla* (over \mathbb{C}) is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra $L = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} L_i$ with a differential d and a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ such that

- $[L_i, L_j] \subset L_{i+j}$;
- $dL_i \subset L_{i+1}$;
- $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is supercommutative;
- graded Jacobi identity;
- d is a graded derivation of $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

0.2. EXAMPLE. If X is a cpx manifold, then one can take

- (1) $L = A^{0, \bullet}(T_X)$, $d = \bar{\partial}$
- (2) If $P \rightarrow X$ is a principle G -bundle with a flat connection ∇ then one can take $L = A^\bullet(ad P)$, $d = \nabla$, where $ad P := P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$.

0.3. CONJECTURE (Folklore, Deligne). *In characteristic 0, every deformation problem comes from dgla.*

Today I will describe an actual cpx space which prorepresents the deformation functor arising from the dgla with “Hodge decomposition”.

prorepresents = represents in a bigger category

0.4. DEFINITION. If X is a compact cpx manifold then a deformation of X is the following data:

- (1) $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ proper, submersive.
- (2) S is connected, with a marked point $0 \in S$.
- (3) $X \simeq \mathcal{X}_0$ (the fiber of p at 0)

Take a tangent sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_f \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow p^*T_S \rightarrow 0$$

and restrict to the special fiber:

$$0 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{X}}|_X \rightarrow T_{S,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

Take the connecting homomorphism in the corresponding long exact sequence:

$$\kappa : T_{S,0} \rightarrow H^1(X, T_X).$$

This is a *Kodaira–Spencer map*.

We want to think about κ as being the differential of the map

$$(s \in S) \mapsto (\text{cpx structure of the fiber } \mathcal{X}_s).$$

Note: all fibers are diffeomorphic to X (Ehressmann) but of course not always isomorphic. Think about the cpx structure on X as $I \in H^0(\text{End}(T_{X,\mathbb{C}}))$ such that $I^2 = -\text{Id}$, I is integrable. One-parameter family I_s of cpx structures gives a 1-parameter family of splittings $T_{X,\mathbb{C}} = T_s^{1,0} \oplus T_s^{0,1}$. If s is small then $T_s^{0,1}$ is a graph of a function from $T^{0,1}$ to $T^{1,0}$, i.e. an element $\varphi_s \in A^{0,1}(T_X)$. A calculation shows that integrability of I_s is equivalent to *Maurer–Cartan equations*

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi_s + \frac{1}{2}[\varphi_s, \varphi_s] = 0$$

in $A^{0,2}(T_X)$. Expanding $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i s^i$ in powers of s gives a family of equations

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi_1 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\varphi_2 + \frac{1}{2}[\varphi_1, \varphi_1] = 0, \quad \dots$$

So we get an element $[\varphi_1] \in H^1(X, T_X)$. This is the image of the Kodaira–Spencer map. To lift this element to the actual deformation, you need (at the very least) $[\varphi_1, \varphi_1] = 0$ in $H^2(X, T_X)$. This is an example of an obstruction.

One has notions of versal, semiuniversal (miniversal), and universal deformations. Universal: any family is a pull-back in a unique way. Versal: any family is a pullback. Semiuniversal: any family is a pullback and identity on tangent spaces (infinitesimal deformations).

0.5. THEOREM (Kuranishi). *In the category of cpx spaces, every compact cpx manifold admits a semiuniversal deformation. Its base is called a Kuranishi space and it is analytic subspace of $H^1(X, T_X)$.*

The Maurer–Cartan equations make sense in arbitrary dgla L :

$$d\zeta + \frac{1}{2}[\zeta, \zeta] = 0, \quad \zeta \in L.$$

We can define a functor

$$D_L : \text{Art} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

(and therefore also $\text{Art} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ by taking the set of isomorphism classes in the groupoid) as follows. For any $(A, m) \in \text{Art}$, let the objects in $D_L(A)$ be

$$\text{Ob } D_L(A) = \{\xi \in L_1 \otimes m \mid d\xi + \frac{1}{2}[\xi, \xi] = 0\}$$

and let the morphisms in $D_L(A)$ be induced by the action of the group

$$\text{Mor } D_L(A) = G(A) = \exp(L_1 \otimes m)$$

(note that exponents are well-defined). The multiplication in this group can be defined formally using the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula

$$\log e^X e^Y = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \dots$$