Semi-orthogonal decompositions of Fano & moduli Jenia Teueleu, UMass Anherst Classical geometry X smooth proj. variety ~ category of sheaves on x (and similar categories)  $D^{2}(x)$  dejects = bounded complexes of coherent sheaves  $\overline{D^{2}(x)} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac$ Example F/x vector bundle of rank r generalized, YCX zero lours of SEH°(X,F) codin Y=r =>  $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \cong [\Lambda' \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}]$  Koszl cauplex

· D'(x) is not an abelian but a triangulated category: morphism A -B mor exact triangle A -B-(-A[1] via the mapping cone construction Conx construction shift funder in D<sup>b</sup>[x] Conx con D<sup>b</sup>(x) Fully-faithful (preserves Hom spaces) A - [... - 10- A - 0- \_] · OAAB-OC-O - A-B-C-A[1]-> short-exact sequence exact triangle take exact tusinges > exact thanges & commute no  $E \times t^{*}(A, B) \cong Hom(A, B(R))$ Shift luse as defutition if A, D = D<sup>L</sup>(x)) · Functors of triangulated categories are presumed to be exact · Classical function of abelian categories are (often) not exact but their derived functors are exact

a moduli space of objects in D<sup>b</sup>(r) Observation If P is f. f. then X is This has two sugredients: (1)(Orlow) P f.f=) J E E D<sup>b</sup>(X×Y) (Fourier-Mukan kernel) such that  $9=P_{E}=\pi_{Y*}(\pi_{X}(-)\otimes E)$  (Former-Mukai transform) =) Function  $p\in X \mapsto E_{P}:= \Psi(k(p))\in D^{b}(Y)$  can be upgraded to {T-points of X} is {families of objects in D'(r)} via pullback of E parametrized by T (2) f.f. property=> X is a complete moduli space of objects in DTr):
 Ext<sup>4</sup> (ξρ, ξ)= 0 k < 0 (nee ded to define moduli of objects in D(Y))</li> How (Eq, Eq)=le => stuple objects
Ext'(Eq, Eq) = To X infinitesimial deformations are controlled by X • obstruction: Ext' (Sp, Gp) -> Ext² (Gp, Gp)=NI,X vanishes (it is symmetric) • Hom (Ep, Eq)=0 if P+q => Ep moves with p (not by some other reason)

One can elso ask: are EpED(Y) stible with respect to  
Some notion of stubility on T?  
Example (Drezet-lePointier, 1985) Introduced and constructed  
exceptional vector buildes on IP<sup>2</sup>, proved their stebility  
Examples of f.S. functors:  
• Y T X projective buildle  

$$\pi^+: D^+(X) = D^+(Y)$$
 f.f.  
 $k(p) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\pi^+(p)}$   
• Y = Blz X blav-up  $\pi_1^{E_{n}}$  inom  $D^+(Z) = D^+(Y)$ f.  
• Y = X Rf\*  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$   
(a)  $\pi_1^{E_{n}} = D^+(X) = D^+(Y)$  f.f.

More examples: Extremal contractions Cone generated by effective curves in Y Mori Cone NE(Y) < N. (Y) < Hz (Y, VR) Ned Come Nef(Y) < N'(Y) < H2(Y, IR) convex dual / Neron-Seven subspaces of NE(Y) spanned by curves/divisors Come + BPF Theorem · NE(Y) N(-K) · locally polyhedral come • generators P = [IP'] IP'cY rational curve P•  $\exists f: Y \rightarrow X, f(c) \rightarrow pt GS$   $[c] \in P$ · Rf. Qr=12x => Lf": D'(x) - D'(r) fully faithful if X is smooth. Unfortunately, X is often singular

Adjoint functors (Mukai) Any FM functor Pz: 0<sup>5</sup>(x)- D<sup>6</sup>(Y) has adjoint functors P= PEro Sy and P'= Sx " Per, where (leftadjoint) Riem(E, Jar) (night adjoint) Sy: D'(Y) -> D'(Y) is the serve functor TI-T @ Wy [limY] ⇒ If P: D<sup>b</sup>(x) → D<sup>b</sup>(Y) is f.f. then A = P(D<sup>b</sup>(x)) is an admissible subcategory of D<sup>b</sup>(Y) full mangulated subcategory with adjoint function for rules on > B = A = {B: Hom (B, A)=0 VA EAY also admissible Db(Y) = < A, B) is a S.O.D.;</li>
 (1) A, B full triangulated subcategones
 (2) Hom(B,A) = > HAEA, BEB

(3) 
$$\forall T \in D^{b}(Y) \neq exact triangle projector functor'
B \to T \to A \to B[1] here  $A = P^{*}(T)$   
 $P: A \subseteq D^{b}(Y)$   
Liberrise, we have a SOD  $D^{b}(Y) = \langle E, A \rangle$ ,  
where  $E = A^{\pm}$ . Furthemore,  $E \cong B$  as triangulated categories  
In fact,  $E = B \otimes \omega_{Y}$  (use serve duality)  
This is an example of a mutation  $\langle A, B \rangle$   
Longer SOD  $D^{b}(Y) = \langle A_{1}, ..., A_{n} \rangle$   $\langle E, A \rangle$   
Filtration  $0 = T_{0}C \dots CT_{n} = D^{b}(Y)$  by admissible subcategories  
 $T_{i} = \langle T_{i-1}, A_{i} \rangle$   $d$ -step SOD$$

Example Y index r fano 
$$\bigcup_{T}^{T} = O(r)$$
  
=>  $D^{b}(r) = \langle A, O(-r+1), ..., O \rangle$   
Example  $D^{b}(\mathbb{P}^{h}) = \langle O(-h), ..., O \rangle$  Pseilinson axc. collection  
(the only case when the Knehetson component = 0)  
Relative version (Orlow)  $X = IP(E) \xrightarrow{T} Y$  projective bundle  
 $\Rightarrow D^{b}(X) = \langle \pi^{*}D^{b}(Y) \otimes O_{\pi}(-h)_{1} ..., \pi^{*}D^{b}(Y) \rangle$   
Orlow blay-up Theorem  $X = B|_{Z}Y \xrightarrow{S} Y$   
 $E \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$   
 $D^{b}(x) = \langle \pi^{*}D^{b}(Z) \otimes O_{\pi}(-k), f^{*}D^{b}(Y) \rangle$   $1 \leq k \leq codmi(Z) - 1$ 

which Db(Y) admit non-trivial SOD? Example Suppose Db(Y)=< A, B) and Wy= Uy Then (A,B) = < BOW, A) = < BA) => D'(Y)=AGB VpeY, k(p)=A@B Hom(k(p)k(p)=k >> k(p) & A or k(p) & B A B => Y is disconnected This simple argument can bé much improved. Conjecture W, nef & effective => D<sup>b</sup>(r) indecomposable Y connected The (Lin, based on work of Kawatani-Okawa) ∩ BS |Wr @L/ filite => D<sup>b</sup>(Y) inde composable LePic(r) (topologically trivial line bundles)

(Kawatani-Okawa proved the same statement for BS/12/ Example C smooth projective curve rank 1 stable pair Sym<sup>R</sup>C =  $C_{x...,x}C/S_{k}$ = {effective divisors of degree k} = {(s, L) : L ∈ Pic<sup>R</sup>C,  $O_{c} = L$ , s ≠0} Th (Biswas-Gomez-Lee) P1+...+P2 = BS/K/ (> h°(p,+...+P2)>1 This shows that k < gon (c) => D<sup>b</sup> (Sym<sup>k</sup>C) indecomposedde But one can do better : k<g => D<sup>b</sup>(Sym<sup>k</sup>C) indecomposable

Fano visitor Conjecture (Bondal) & smooth projective veriety X nere exists a fully faithful  $\varphi: D'(x) \subset D'(Y), Y fano$ This looks like saying that every X can be embedded in IP" but analogy is superficial: we can deform subvenieties of IP" but f.f. functors P do not deform since X is the moduli space of objects  $E_p \in D^{b}(Y)$  (except by applying automorphisms of X) In fact, admissible subortegories ACD(Y) also don't deform (and deform unguely, if we deform Ym, Y). Cavent; If A = D\*(x) then deformed A'c Db(Y') can be non-geometric (i.e # D<sup>b</sup>(X')) Admissible subcategories A c D<sup>b</sup>(Y) are examples of proper & smooth hon-commutative algebraiz varieties

Example: Y < IP 5 cubic fourfal D<sup>b</sup>(Y)=(A, U(-2), U(-1), U). D<sup>b</sup>(K3) or deformation of D<sup>k</sup>(3) **Remark** N.c. deformations are rare: If H<sup>2</sup>(X<sub>1</sub> O<sub>X</sub>) = H<sup>o</sup>(X, A<sup>2</sup>T<sub>X</sub>) = O => HH<sup>2</sup>(X) = H<sup>i</sup>(X<sub>1</sub>T<sub>X</sub>) and all deformation Hochschild cohomology are geometric Fano visibr conjecture applies that Fano varieties are abundant, there are as many of them as smooth projective varieties. Where to find Fano hosts? Fano complete intersections (richading Zeros of sections of vector bundles) on key varieties loke 10°, flag varieties, Fano toric varieties, etc. are not enough even

ben bed derived categories of curves Db(c) g>>0 (moduli of complete reference ions are unirational but Mg for y>>0 is not) Remark Works for some varieties with unitational moduli, Example · C hyperelliphic => D'(c) - Db(Q, nQ) (Bardal-Gila) · Any smooth complete intersection in ID'is a Fano visitor (Kiem-Kim-Lee-Lee) Let's brankstorm some édeas where else b find Fano hosts

I der l'Construct Y as a modeli space of objects on X Example: X=pt=)T=pt. So can't get complicated exaptional objects (E) c D<sup>b</sup>(Y) but good enough! Using universel families to construct fully-faith ful Example X = abelian variety E Poissouré line bundle on X r Pic X Th (Mukai) Se: D'(X) - D'(PicoX) is an equivalence Sketch Since both are indecomposable, it is enough to chech  $\mathcal{F}_{e^{\prime\prime}}: D^{\prime\prime}(\mathcal{P}(c^{\prime}X) \rightarrow D^{\prime\prime}(X))$  is fully-finishful. By Bondal- Orlov, this is equivalent to

Lithe Picox => Pxt (Li, Lz) = Hk(X, Liolz) = O Vk Good exercise! If can't solve, resort to mirror symmetry This calculation shows that checking the Bondal-Drlov cuterion involves proving a lot of It also shows that we have an obstruction: Obstruction In general, Pic°(x) & any moduli space Y on X Action is typically not trivial (e.g. for moduli of vector buhalles) but Abelian varieties can't act on Fano varieties (Y C P >> Aut IY) C PGLN+1 linear dyebraic group) +mkl Solution Consider moduli of directs with fixed determinant

- · Example C smooth projective curve of genus 972
- SU<sub>c</sub>(2, Λ) moduli space of <u>semi-stable</u> rank 2
   vector bundles Fon C with det F = Λ
- Fano variety, dim = 3g-3,  $Pic = 7 = \langle \theta \rangle$
- SU<sub>c</sub>(2,Λ) ≥ SU<sub>c</sub>(2,Λ⊙ L<sup>©2</sup>) => 2 cases only
   F ← F<sub>∞</sub>L
- deg∧ odd

SUC(2, n) smooth, birational to IPExt\*(n, Q)=(p<sup>3g-3</sup>) • degn = even

SUC(2, N) is Gorenstein, has rational singularities rationality unknown (for g >>) Unirational: (P<sup>3g-2</sup>, SUC(2, N) generic fibers P'

Th (Narasimhan) det odd => 3 Poincaré rectorbundle E on C× Suc(2, A) and P: D<sup>b</sup>(C) → D<sup>b</sup>(Slec(2,Λ)) is fully faithful. • In particular, C can be reconstructed as a moduli Space of vector bundles on SUC(2,1): Torelli theorem! Later, I will give a simple proof of Narasimhan's theorem, which can be extended to construct a full S.O.D. of D'(SUC12, N) conjectured by Narasimhen and Belmans-Galkin-Mukhopadhyay as well as of BPS categories for the even determinant (proved in collaboration w. S. Torres 8 B. Sink)

How about surfaces? Let X=K3. o's  $H'(X, U_X) = 0$  does not cause problems  $Pic^{0}X = \{U_X\}$ so  $H'(X, U_X) = 0$  does not cause problems  $Pic^{0}X = \{U_X\}$ Indeed, take  $E \in D^{b}(\lambda)$  with  $E \times f^{b}(E,E) = \begin{cases} 0 & j < 0 \\ 1 & j = 0 \end{cases}$ Consider the composition  $\mathbb{E}_{x+1}(\mathbb{E},\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{E}_{x+1}(\mathbb{E},\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{E}_{x+1}(\mathbb{E},\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{H}_{n}(\mathbb{E},\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{E}_{x+1}(\mathbb{E},\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{E}_{x+1}(\mathbb$ Fact (Mukei) m is shev-symmetric & non-degenerate => - moduli are unobstructed but also • Hyperkähler! How b find Fano subvancties on HK varieties? The restriction of SEH°(HK, S2) to a Fano subvariety vanishes => Fano subvarieties are isotropic

Th (Aravena) If Pic K3=22, genus is even =>

- F Bridgeland stability condition & with HK moduli space M(6) (bitational to the Beauville-Muleai system)

  - 3 Fano Lagrangian Y c M(6) 3 Poincaré family E on  $X \times Y$  of 6 style objects and the functor  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ :  $D^{b}(x) D^{b}(Y)$  is fully faithful

what is the next obstruction to existence of Fano moduli?