

(1)

M725. Section 2.5. Reflexive Spaces
(Brezis's book)

Definition: E Banach, $J: E \rightarrow E^{**}$ the canonical injection from E to E^{**} . ($E \subset E^{**}$)

We say that E is reflexive if J is surjective, in which case $J(E) = E^{**}$ and we then identify E^{**} with E (i.e. $E = E^{**}$)

Remark: If E is finite dim. then $E = E^{**}$ by dim. counting)

Examples for $1 < p < \infty$ L^p is reflexive $(L^p)^* = L^{p'}$ and
 $1 < p' < \infty$ $(L^p)^{**} = L^p$ $\left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \\ (L^{p'})^* = L^p \end{array} \right.$

However: L^1 and L^∞ are not reflexive.

$$(L^1)^* = L^\infty \text{ but } (L^\infty)^* \neq L^1 \subset (L^\infty)^*$$

$$L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$$

(σ -finite msr space)

$$ba(X, \Sigma, \mu) = \text{bdd additive measures}$$

space of finitely additive (signed) measures on Σ which are absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ , equipped with the total variation norm. (see Dunford-Schwartz, Vol. I.)

(2)

Let's see that indeed $(L^\infty)^*$ is strictly bigger than L^1 : wrs. \exists continuous linear functionals ~~on~~ on L^∞ which cannot be represented

$$\phi(f) = \langle \phi, f \rangle = \int f u \, dx \quad \forall f \in L^\infty \text{ and some } u \in L^1.$$

(Riesz Rep Theorem)

Let's see a specific example of such functional. Consider $\psi: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi(f) = f(0)$ for $f \in C_c^\infty$

ψ is linear and continuous on C_c^∞ for the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm. By the HB theorem we may extend ψ into a linear continuous ~~linear~~ functional ϕ on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and have then

$$* \quad \langle \phi, f \rangle = f(0) \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty$$

By contradiction

Assume $\exists u \in L^1 / \langle \phi, f \rangle = \int u f$

In particular this must hold for $\forall f \in L^\infty$

all $f \in L^\infty / f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u f = 0$ for

all those f . But then $u \equiv 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^d

(since $u \equiv 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$), $\Rightarrow \langle \phi, f \rangle \equiv 0 \quad \forall f \in L^\infty$
Contradicting $*$

(3)

Theorem 3.17 (Kakutani): Let E be Banach.

Then E is reflexive if and only if

\rightarrow $B_E = \{x \in E; \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is compact
in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$.

\Rightarrow

Proof: Assume E is reflexive, so that $J(B_E) = B_{E^{**}}$

By Theorem 3.16, $B_{E^{**}}$ is compact in the topology $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$. So we need to show that

J^{-1} is continuous from E^{**} equipped with $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ to E equipped with $\sigma(E, E^*)$. We invoke once

again Prop 3.2, to deduce this from proving

that $\forall f \in E^*$ fixed, the map $z \mapsto \langle f, J^{-1}z \rangle$ is continuous on E^{**} equipped with $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$.

But $\langle f, J^{-1}z \rangle = \langle z, f \rangle$ and the map

$z \mapsto \langle z, f \rangle$ is continuous on E^{**} in

the $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ topology. Hence B_E is

compact in $\sigma(E, E^*)$.

\Leftarrow) This is harder!! needs Lemma 3.3 (Helly)

See Pre'215 pages 68-69. Lemma 3.4 (Goldstine)

Theorem 3.18 : Assume E is reflexive Banach and let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in E . Then \exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ that converges in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$.

and
Theorem 3.19 : Assume that E is a Banach space / every bounded sequence admits a weakly convergent subsequence (in $\sigma(E, E^*)$ topology) Then, E is reflexive.

Remark : In a metric compact space X every sequence in X admits a convergent subsequence. (in fact in metric spaces the latter is \Leftrightarrow compact)

- Furthermore, \exists compact topological spaces X and some sequences in X without any convergent subsequence. Example: $X = B_{E^*}$ which is compact in $\sigma(E^*, E)$; when $E = l^\infty$ one may construct a sequence in X without any convergent subsequence.
- If X is a topological space, having the property that every sequence admits a convergent subsequence $\nRightarrow X$ is compact !

Proposition 3.20: Assume that E is a reflexive Banach space and let $M \subset E$ be a closed linear subspace of E . Then M is reflexive.

Remark: M equipped with the norm of E has a priori 2 weak topologies:

- a) The one induced by $\sigma(E, E^*)$
- b) its own weak topology $\sigma(M, M^*)$.

But in fact these two topologies are the same by the HB theorem. (think about this).

To prove the proposition we can use Theorem 3.17

That is wts B_M is compact in the $\sigma(M, M^*)$ topology \iff in $\sigma(E, E^*)$ topology. Since B_E

(E reflexive)
(Th. 3.17)

is compact in $\sigma(E, E^*)$ and M is closed in

(Theorem 3.7)

$\sigma(E, E^*)$ we then have B_M is compact in $\sigma(E, E^*)$. #

COROLLARY 3.21: A Banach space E is REFLEXIVE if and only if its dual space E^* is reflexive.

Proof: Read in Brézis. It's straightforward. pg 70-71

pg 72

NOTE: Also read Theorem 3.24 about unbounded linear operators $A: D(A) \subset E \rightarrow F$, $D(A)$ dense & A closed and A^* and A^{**} .

(6)

3.6 A few facts about separable spaces

- On a metric space E , separable means that $\exists D \subset E$ that is countable and dense.
- If $F \subset E$ (metric separable) $\Rightarrow F$ is separable.
Indeed suppose $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset E$ is dense and consider $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of positive numbers s.t. $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider $B(x_n, r_n) \cap F$.
If this intersection is $\neq \emptyset$ then choose $a_{n,m} \in B(x_n, r_n) \cap F$. Clearly $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m \geq 1}$ is countable. And it is also dense in F (why?)

Read proof in
Bridgman's
pg. 73

Theorem 3.26: Let E be Banach / E^* is separable. Then E is separable.

CAVEAT: The converse is FALSE. Indeed consider $E = L^1$ Banach and separable. But $E^* = L^\infty$ is NOT separable.

Will come back to \uparrow this fact later.

(7)

Corollary 3.27: Let E be Banach. Then

E reflexive and separable \iff

E^* reflexive and separable.

- Separability is related to being metrizable (ie when there is a metric that induces the topology of the space).

Theorem 3.28: E separable Banach. Then

B_{E^*} is metrizable in the weak* topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$

Conversely if B_{E^*} is metrizable in $\sigma(E^*, E)$ then E is separable

Idea of proof of \implies) Consider $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$

dense subset in B_E . For any $f \in E^*$

$$\text{Set } [f] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |\langle f, x_n \rangle|$$

- $[\cdot]$ is a norm on E^*
- $[f] \leq \|f\|_{E^*}$. Let then
- $d(f, g) := [f - g]$ d is a metric in E^*

(8)

- The topology induced by d on B_{E^*} is the same as the ^{WEAK*} topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$ restricted to B_{E^*} .

Theorem 3.29 : Let E be a Banach space such that E^* is separable. Then B_E is metrizable in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$. Conversely if B_E is metrizable in $\sigma(E, E^*)$ then E^* is separable.

Remark
Pg 4
02/21/2020

→ Recall we have seen last class that in infinite dimensions the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$ (resp. weak* top $\sigma(E^*, E)$) on all of E (resp. on all of E^*) is NOT metrizable.

In particular the metric induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on all of E^* does NOT coincide with the weak* topology.

COROLLARY 3.30 : Let E be a separable Banach space and let $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in E^* . Then \exists a subseq. $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ that converges in $\sigma(E^*, E)$.

9

Non separability of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(one can more generally show $L^\infty(X)$ is NOT separable except when X consists of a finite number of points).

(Brezis) Lemma 4.2: Let E be Banach. Assume that

there exists a family $(O_i)_{i \in I}$ /

(i) For each $i \in I$, O_i is a nonempty subset of E

(ii) $O_i \cap O_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$

(iii) I is uncountable.

Then E is not separable

Proof: Suppose by contradiction E is separable and let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset E$ dense. For each $i \in I$

$O_i \cap \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \neq \emptyset$. Choose $n(i) / x_{n(i)} \in O_i$.

The map $i \rightarrow n(i)$ is injective by (ii) \Rightarrow

I is countable! Contradiction. $\#$

We use this Lemma to prove nonseparability of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

(10)

Proof: ① Claim: $\exists (W_i)_{i \in I}$ measurable sets in \mathbb{R}^d which are all distinct. That is $W_i \Delta W_j$ has positive measure if $i \neq j$ and such that I is uncountable.

The claim follows easily by considering all the balls $B(x_0, r)$ $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$ small enough and arguing from here. (HOMEWORK!).

② Assuming the claim then, we can conclude from Lemma 4.2 by considering the family $(O_i)_{i \in I}$ defined by

$$O_i := \left\{ f \in L^\infty / \|f - \chi_{W_i}\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

(NOTE: $\|\chi_{W_i} - \chi_{W_j}\|_{L^\infty} = 1$ if $i \neq j$ (W_i distinct from W_j)).

All in ALL in \mathbb{R}^d we have

- For $1 < p < \infty$ L^p is reflexive, separable, dual $L^{p'}$
- L^1 not reflexive but yes separable, dual $L^1 = L^\infty$ $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$
- L^∞ not reflexive NOT separable, dual $L^\infty \cong L^1$.