

1. (a) [5%] For every integer  $m$ , there exist *unique* integers  $q$  and  $r$  [2.5%] such that  $m = 5q + r$  and  $0 \leq r < 5$ . [2.5%]

- (b) [8%]

*Proof.* Assume  $5 \mid m^2$ . Write  $m = 5q + r$  with  $q$  and  $r$  as in (a). Then

$$m^2 = (5q + r)^2 = 25q^2 + 10qr + r^2 = 5(5q^2 + 2qr) + r^2. \quad [2\%]$$

Since 5 divides both  $m^2$  and  $5(5q^2 + 2qr)$ , it divides their difference  $r^2$ . [2%] Now  $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , or  $4$  so that  $r^2$  is one of

$$0^2 = 0, \quad 1^2 = 1, \quad 2^2 = 4, \quad 3^2 = 9, \quad 4^2 = 16. \quad [1\%]$$

But 5 does not divide any of these numbers except 0, so that  $r^2 = 0$ . [2%] Then  $r = 0$ , and  $m = 5q + 0 = 5q$ . Thus 5 divides  $m$ . [1%]  $\square$

- (c) [7%] *Proof.* Just suppose  $\sqrt{5}$  is rational, so that

$$\sqrt{5} = \frac{m}{n} \quad (*)$$

for some integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $n \neq 0$ . [2%]

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime (if they are not, divide each by their gcd). [2%]

Square (\*) to obtain

$$5n^2 = m^2. \quad [1\%]$$

Since 5 divides  $5n^2$ , it also divides  $m^2$ . [1%] From (b), 5 divides  $m$ . [1%] ...

2. (a) [10%] *Proof:* Assume  $a + c \equiv b + c \pmod{m}$ . [1%] Then

$$m \mid ((a + c) - (b + c)) \quad [3\%]$$

and, since  $(a + c) - (b + c) = a - b$ , [3%]

$$m \mid (a - b). \quad [2\%]$$

This means  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ . [1%]  $\square$

- (b) [10%] The implication is **not true** in general. [2%]

Take, for example,  $m = 2$  and take  $a = 2, b = 3, c = 4$ . [6%] Then  $2 \cdot 4 \equiv 3 \cdot 4 \pmod{2}$  and  $4 \neq 0$ , but  $2 \nmid 3 \pmod{2}$ . [2%]

3. (a) [5%] Integer  $n > 1$  is *not* prime when there exists an integer  $d$  such that  $d \mid n$  but  $d \neq 1$  and  $d \neq n$ .
- (b) [5%] *Well-Ordering Principle:* Each nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a least element.

- (c) [10%] *Proof:* We are going to use the Well-Ordering Principle. Let

$$A = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : n > 1 \ \& \ \underline{n \text{ has no prime divisor}} \}. \quad [2\%]$$

Just suppose some integer greater than 1 has no prime divisor, in other words,  $A$  is nonempty. [1%]

By the Well-Ordering Principle,  $A$  has a least element  $n_1$ . [1%]

Since  $n_1$  has no prime divisor, then in particular,  $n_1$  itself is not prime.

[1%] This means that  $n_1$  has a divisor  $d$  with  $1 < d < n_1$ . [2%]

Because  $d > 1$  and  $d < n_1$ , the least element of  $A$ , then  $d \notin A$ . This means that  $d$  has some prime divisor  $p$ . Then  $p \mid d$  and, since  $d \mid n_1$ , then also  $p \mid n_1$ . This is impossible because  $n_1 \in A$ . [3%]  $\square$

4. (a) [5%] Define:

$$\begin{cases} a^0 = 1, & [1\%] \\ a^{n+1} = a a^n & (n \geq 0) \quad [4\%] \end{cases}$$

[Note: You could equally well take  $a^{n+1} = a^n a$  as the recursive relation, and then you would need to alter some of the steps in (b). You could also take as the recursive relation  $a^n = a a^{n-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , but in view of the induction done in (b), it's easier to use the  $a^{n+1} = \dots$  form.]

- (b) [15%] Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We use induction on  $m$  to prove that  $a^{m+n} = a^m a^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . [2%]

*Base step* ( $m = 0$ ): For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a^{0+n} = a^n = 1 \cdot a^n = a^0 a^n$  [3%]

*Inductive step:* Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume

$$a^{m+n} = a^m a^n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad [2\%]$$

(What must be deduced is that  $a^{(m+1)+n} = a^{m+1} a^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . [2%])

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(m+1)+n} &= a^{m+(n+1)} && \text{(by properties of addition in } \mathbb{Z} \text{)} && [1\%] \\ &= a^m a^{n+1} && \text{(by the inductive assumption)} && [2\%] \\ &= a^m (a a^n) && \text{(by the recursive definition)} && [1\%] \\ &= (a a^m) a^n && \text{(by properties of multiplication in } \mathbb{R} \text{)} && [1\%] \\ &= a^{m+1} a^n && \text{(by the recursive definition again)} && \square \quad [1\%] \end{aligned}$$

*Notes:*

- The value of  $n$  was fixed in the proof above, so that the predicate  $P(m)$  being proved by induction is  $a^{m+n} = a^m a^n$ , where  $n$  is that fixed value. If you do not fix  $n$ , then the predicate  $P(m)$  to be proved by induction would be that  $a^{m+n} = a^m a^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ —and you should explicitly say so.
- You could carry out the induction on  $n$  instead of on  $m$ .

5. (a) [5%] The set  $A$  of all *even* integers is infinite and differenced. (More generally: any nonzero ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; in other words, for any integer  $g \neq 0$ , the ideal  $\{kg : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .)
- (b) [5%] The set  $A$  of all *odd* integers is infinite, but it is *not* differenced. (Another example: the subset  $\mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .)
- (c) [10%] First, since  $A$  has some element  $k$  and  $0 = k - k$ , then

$$0 \in A. \quad [3\%] \quad (1)$$

[*Note:* The preceding needs to be a separate step. It is *not* enough to start something like this: “Let  $m, n \in A$ . Then  $0 = m - m \in A$ .” The trouble with that is that you don’t have any particular element of  $A$  yet; you explicitly have to invoke that  $A$  is nonempty to get such.]

Next, for each  $n \in A$ , its negative

$$-n = 0 - n \in A \quad [3\%] \quad (2)$$

from (??) and the definition of “differenced”.

Finally, for every  $m, n \in A$ , the sum

$$m + n = m - (-n) \in A \quad [4\%]$$

from (??) and the definition of “differenced).”  $\square$

*Another version of the proof: fix  $m$  and  $n$  at the start.* Let  $m, n \in A$ . Since  $m \in A$ , from the definition of “differenced” we have  $0 = m - m \in A$ . Next, since  $0 \in A$  and  $n \in A$ , then  $-n = 0 - n \in A$ . Finally, since  $m \in A$  and  $-n \in A$ ,

$$m + n = m - (-n) \in A.$$

*Yet another version of the proof.* This arrangement of the proof does not explicitly involve showing that  $0 \in A$ . (Thanks, Colette!) Let  $m, n \in A$ . Then  $m - n \in A$  since  $A$  is differenced. Next,

$$-n = (m - n) - m \in A,$$

again since  $A$  is differenced. Finally, as above,

$$m + n = m - (-n) \in A,$$

once more because  $A$  is differenced.  $\square$