

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \quad (a) \quad [8\%] \quad \text{avg } v &= \frac{h(5.2) - h(5)}{5.2 - 5} \\
 &= \frac{[1200 - 4.9(5.2)^2] - [1200 - 4.9(5)^2]}{0.2} \\
 &= \frac{-4.9[(5.2)^2 + (5)^2]}{0.2} \\
 &= -4.9 \frac{2.04}{0.2} = \boxed{-49.98 \text{ m/s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad [8\%] \quad \text{avg } v &= \frac{h(5 + \Delta t) - h(5)}{\Delta t} \\
 &= \boxed{\frac{[1200 - 4.9(5 + \Delta t)^2] - [1200 - 4.9(5)^2]}{\Delta t} \text{ (m/sec)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The question did not ask you to simplify the result, but if you do so, you should obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{-4.9(25 + 10\Delta t + (\Delta t)^2) + 4.9(25)}{\Delta t} = \frac{-4.9(10\Delta t + (\Delta t)^2)}{\Delta t} \\
 &= \frac{-4.9\Delta t(10 + \Delta t)}{\Delta t} = -4.9(10 + \Delta t) \text{ (m/sec)}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) [10%] The desired slope m is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(4+h) - f(4)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{[(4+h)^2 - 3(4+h)] - [4^2 - 3(4)]}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{16 + 8h + h^2 - 12 - 3h - 16 + 12}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{5h + h^2}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(5+h)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (5+h) \\
 &= 5 + 0 = \boxed{5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) [6%] The form of the tangent line is

$$y - f(4) = m(x - 4).$$

The tangent line has slope $m = 5$ and goes through the point

$$(4, f(4)) = (4, 4^2 - 3(4)) = (4, 4).$$

So its equation is

$$\boxed{y - 4 = 5(x - 4)},$$

That form is OK. So is a simplified form such as: $y = 5x - 16$

3. (a) [8%] Factor the denominator to obtain

$$f(x) = \frac{4x^2 + 5}{(x-1)(x-5)}.$$

Then f is continuous at every $x \neq 1, 5$, so the only possible vertical asymptotes are at $x = 1$ and $x = 5$.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{4x^2 + 5}{(x-1)(x-5)} = -\infty$$

because, for $x > 1$ and $x \approx 1$, we have $4x^2 + 5 \approx 4(1)^2 + 5 = 9 > 0$, while $x - 1 > 0$ and $x - 5 < 0$ —so that $(x - 1)(x - 5) > 0$ —with $x - 1 \approx 0$.

[You could similarly see that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) = +\infty$. But finding that *one* of the two one-sided limits is infinite is enough to establish that there is an asymptote at $x = 1$.]

Next,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 5^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 5^+} \frac{4x^2 + 5}{(x-1)(x-5)} = +\infty$$

because, for $x > 5$ and $x \approx 5$, we have $4x^2 + 5 \approx 4(5)^2 + 5 = 105 > 0$, while $x - 1 > 0$ and $x - 5 > 0$ —so that $(x - 1)(x - 5) > 0$ —with $x - 5 \approx 0$.

[You could similarly see that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5^-} f(x) = -\infty$. But again it's enough to find *one* of the two one-sided limits.]

Hence **the lines $x = 1$ and $x = 5$ are the vertical asymptotes**.

- (b) [8%]

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4x^2 + 5}{x^2 - 6x + 5} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 + 5/x^2}{1 - 6/x + 5/x^2} \\ &= \frac{4 + 0}{1 - 0 + 0} = 4 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 4.$$

Hence **the line $y = 4$ is the one and only horizontal asymptote**.

(Here you *did* need to determine the limit in the other direction, as $x \rightarrow -\infty$, too.)

4. (a) [8%]

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \frac{x^2 - 9}{x - 3} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \frac{(x + 3)(x - 3)}{x - 3} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 3} (x + 3) = 3 + 3 = \boxed{6} \quad (\text{by Direct Substitution})\end{aligned}$$

(b) [8%]

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{2 - \sqrt{x}}{x^2(4 - x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{2 - \sqrt{x}}{x^2(4 - x)} \cdot \frac{2 + \sqrt{x}}{2 + \sqrt{x}} && (\text{rationalizing numerator}) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{2^2 - (\sqrt{x})^2}{x^2(4 - x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{4 - x}{x^2(4 - x)(2 + \sqrt{x})} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{1}{x^2(2 + \sqrt{x})} \\ &= \frac{1}{4^2(2 + \sqrt{4})} = \boxed{\frac{1}{64}} && (\text{by Direct Substitution})\end{aligned}$$

(by the product rule and continuity of cos).

5. (a) [6%] For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some δ such that:

$$\text{if } \boxed{x \neq 1 \text{ and } 1 - \delta < x < 1 + \delta}, \text{ then } \boxed{4 - \epsilon < f(x) < 4 + \epsilon}.$$

You could also complete the statement in the form:

$$\text{if } \boxed{0 < |x - 1| < \delta}, \text{ then } \boxed{|f(x) - 4| < \epsilon}.$$

In either of those forms, you could substitute the actual expression $(6x - 2)$ for $f(x)$.

(b) [8%] You may do this with or without absolute values. Here's the way without them.

We want to make $4 - \epsilon < f(x) < 4 + \epsilon$, that is,

$$4 - 0.1 < 6x - 2 < 4 + 0.1 \tag{1}$$

when x is sufficiently close to 1 (but $x \neq 1$). Now condition (??) is equivalent in turn to each of:

$$\begin{aligned}6 - 0.1 &< 6x < 6 + 0.1 \\ 1 - \frac{0.1}{6} &< x < 1 + \frac{0.1}{6}\end{aligned}$$

So we take

$$\boxed{\delta = \frac{0.1}{6}}.$$

or any smaller positive number. Of course $0.1/6 \approx 0.01666667$. However, if you want to give a single decimal as the answer, it would be *wrong* to take $\delta = 0.01666667$ or $\delta = 0.0166667$, etc. Instead, you would have to use for δ a value that is definitely *less than* $0.1/6$. For example, it would be OK to take $\delta = 0.166666$.

6. (a) [8%] That f is continuous at $x = 1$ means $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$ exists and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = f(1)$.

The one-sided limits are:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (kx + 4) = k(1) + 4 = k + 4, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} f(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} 2x^2 = 2(1)^2 = 2.\end{aligned}$$

For $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$ to exist we need these two one-sided limits to be equal:

$$k + 4 = 2,$$

that is,

$$k = -2.$$

Thus for $k = -2$ we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$ exists, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 2$. Since $f(1) = 2$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = f(1).$$

Hence f is continuous at $x = 1$ exactly in the case that $k = -2$.

- (b) [8%] We now know

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -2x + 4 & \text{if } x < 1, \\ 2x^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq x, \end{cases}$$

The question is whether $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1}$ exists.

The one-sided limits of the difference quotients are:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(-2x + 4) - 2}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{-2x + 2}{x - 2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^{-1}} \frac{-2(x - 1)}{x - 1} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^{-1}} (-2) = -2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{2x^2 - 2}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{2(x^2 - 1)}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{2(x + 1)(x - 1)}{x - 1} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} 2(x + 1) = 2(1 + 1) = 4.\end{aligned}$$

$\left[\text{You could have worked instead with one-sided limits of } \frac{f(1 + h) - f(1)}{h} \right]$

Since these two one-sided limits are *not* the same, then f is *not* differentiable at $x = 1$.

Note: To say simply that f is not differentiable at $x = 1$ because its graph has a corner there is *not* enough—and will receive only very partial credit—because the question remains as to how you know for sure that there's a corner there. (And to determine that, you have to calculate the one-sided limits of the difference quotient, as above.)