

# UMASS AMHERST MATH 411 SECTION 2, FALL 2009, F. HAJIR

## HOMEWORK 2: DUE TH. OCT. 1

READINGS: These notes are intended as a SUPPLEMENT TO THE TEXTBOOK, NOT A REPLACEMENT FOR IT.

### 1. ELEMENTS OF A GROUP, THEIR POWERS AND THEIR ORDERS

Let  $(G, *, e)$  be a group. For convenience, we will often write  $ab$  instead of  $a * b$ . We will write  $a^{-1}$  for the inverse of  $a$ , which is okay because we have proved that the inverse is unique. Note that when the binary operation  $*$  is addition  $(+)$ , the usual notation for the inverse of  $a$  is  $-a$ , not  $a^{-1}$ . Also, in that case, the identity element is usually 0.

Suppose  $a, b \in G$ . You should prove for yourself that:  $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$  and more generally, if  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$ ,  $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^{-1} = a_n^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1}$ . We also recall that Generalized Associativity follows from associativity, i.e.  $a_1 \cdots a_n$  does not depend on how we parenthesize the product.

From now on, let  $G$  be a group and  $a \in G$  an element of  $G$ .

For  $a \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define  $a^n$  to be  $aa \cdots a$  (product of  $n$   $a$ 's), if  $n > 0$ ,  $e$  if  $n = 0$ , and  $a^{-1} \cdots a^{-1}$  (product of  $|n|$   $a^{-1}$ 's) if  $n < 0$ .

**PROBLEM 1.** For  $a \in G$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , prove that  $a^n a^m = a^{n+m}$  and  $(a^n)^m = a^{nm}$ .

Hint: first do the case where either  $m$  or  $n$  is 0. Next do  $m > 0, n > 0$ . Now do the other cases.

Recall that the order of  $a$  in  $G$  is defined by

$$\text{ord}_G(a) = \min\{m \geq 1 \mid a^m = e\}.$$

If  $a^m \neq e$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , then we say that  $\text{ord}_G(a) = \infty$ . In other words,  $\text{ord}_G(a)$  is the smallest positive exponent which “kills”  $a$ . [Note: you should think of the identity element as “dead”, so a “killer” of  $x$  is something that renders  $x$  into the identity]. Note that  $a$  has order 1 if and only if  $a = e$ .

**PROBLEM 2.** List the elements of  $S_4$  (as permutations) and find the order of each one in  $S_4$ . Note:  $S_4$  has 24 elements.

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $(G, *, e)$  is a finite group (i.e.  $G$  is a finite set, i.e. it has only finitely many elements), and  $a \in G$ , then  $\text{ord}_G(a) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the set  $\{a^m \mid m \geq 1\}$ . This is a subset of  $G$  (why?), hence must be finite. Thus,  $a, a^2, a^3, \dots$  cannot all be pairwise distinct. Thus, there exist integers  $1 \leq i < j$  such that  $a^i = a^j$ . Let us write  $j = i + r$  with  $r \geq 1$ . Then  $a^i = a^{i+r} = a^i a^r$ . Therefore  $e = a^r$  (why?). Thus,  $\text{ord}_G(a) \leq r < \infty$ .  $\square$

**PROBLEM 3.** Prove: If  $a \in G$  has finite order  $k = \text{ord}_G(a)$ , then  $a, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^{k-1}, a^k$  are all distinct.

Hint: suppose not; then  $a^i = a^{i+r}$  for some  $i, r \geq 1$  (why?). Now derive an upper bound for  $r$  and obtain a contradiction to the minimality of  $k$  as “killer exponent” of  $a$ . If you have trouble, see Lemma 3.2 of these notes, but try it yourself first.

Recall some notation from number theory. If  $k, n$  are integers and  $k \neq 0$ , then we write  $k|n$  (read:  $k$  divides  $n$ , or  $n$  is a multiple of  $k$ ) if and only if  $kt = n$  for some integer  $t$ . For example  $1|n$  for all integers  $n$ , but  $n|1$  implies that  $n = \pm 1$ . We have  $n|0$  for every integer  $n$  because  $n \cdot 0 = 0$ .

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING RESULT IS VERY USEFUL AND IMPORTANT.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose  $a \in G$  has finite order  $k = \text{ord}_G(a)$ . If  $a^n = e$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $k|n$ . In fact, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a^n = e$  if and only if  $k|n$ . In other words,*

**the killer exponents of  $a$  are exactly the integer multiples of  $\text{ord}_G(a)$ .**

*Proof.* By the Euclidean (or division) algorithm, there exist integers  $q, r$  such that

$$n = qk + r, \quad 0 \leq r < k.$$

In fact, a pair of integers  $(n, k)$  with  $k \neq 0$ , uniquely determines integers  $(q, r)$  with  $0 \leq r < |k|$  such that  $n = qk + r$ . Here is one way to see this. Let's assume  $n, k > 0$  for convenience. The other cases easily follow from this anyway. For every real number  $x$ , we can write  $x = [x] + \langle x \rangle$  where  $[x]$  is the largest integer not greater than  $x$  and  $0 \leq \langle x \rangle < 1$ . Sometimes  $[x]$  is called the integral part (or *floor*) of  $x$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  is its fractional part. Returning to  $n = kq + r$ , we simply take  $x = n/k \in \mathbb{R}$  and write  $q = [n/k]$ ; then  $\langle n/k \rangle = n/k - q = r/k$  for a unique integer  $r$  in the range  $0 \leq r < k$ . For more details, you may consult Theorem 1.26 in the book, for example.

Now, recall  $k = \text{ord}_G(a) \geq 1$  and suppose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Writing  $n = kq + r$ , with  $0 \leq r < k$ , we have  $a^n = a^{kq+r} = a^{kq}a^r$  by PROBLEM 1. Thus  $a^n = (a^k)^q a^r = e^q a^r = a^r$ . If we assume  $a^n = e$ , then  $a^r = e$ ; but  $0 \leq r < k < \text{ord}_G(a)$ . By the definition of  $\text{ord}_G(a)$ ,  $a, a^2, \dots, a^{k-1}$  are all distinct from  $e$ . Hence, we must have  $r = 0$ . Thus,  $a^n = e$  implies that  $n = kq$  i.e.  $k|n$ . On the other hand, if  $k|n$ , i.e.  $kq = n$ , then  $a^n = (a^k)^q = e^q = e$ .  $\square$

PROBLEM 4. a) What is the order of 5 in  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ?

b) The group of non-zero real numbers under multiplication is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ . What are the elements of order 2 in  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ ? [First make sure you understand who the identity of this group is].

c) Are there any elements of order 3 in  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ ?

PROBLEM 5. Prove that if  $G$  is a group and  $a \in G$ , then  $\text{ord}_G(a) = \text{ord}_G(a^{-1})$ . Be sure to include the case where  $\text{ord}_G(a)$  is infinite.

PROBLEM 6. Suppose  $G$  is a group and  $g \in G$  has order  $m = pn$  where  $p$  is a prime number and  $n$  is a positive integer. Let  $h = g^n$ . Show that  $h$  has order  $p$  in  $G$ .

## 2. HOMOMORPHISMS, ISOMORPHISMS, AND SUBGROUPS

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $(G_1, *_1, e_1)$  and  $(G_2, *_2, e_2)$  are groups, and  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a map. We say that  $f$  is a *group homomorphism* (usually *homomorphism* for short) if

$$f(a *_1 b) = f(a) *_2 f(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G_1.$$

In other words,  $f$  carries the product of two elements in  $G_1$  to the product of their images in  $G_2$ ; we say that  $f$  *respects* the groups laws of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

**Example 2.2.** We will define a group  $V = \{e, a, b, c\}$  as follows. Let  $S$  be a square whose sides (edges) are labelled  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with 1, 2 forming one pair of opposite edges and 3, 4 forming the other pair. Let  $a$  be the reflection of the square across the line that bisects edges 3, 4. Let  $b$  be the reflection of the square across the line that bisects 1, 2. And let  $c$  be the rotation by 180 degrees of the square about its center (where the diagonals meet). Together with the identity symmetry (do nothing!), these 4 symmetries of the square form a group, under composition of functions (which is always associative as we saw last time). Spend a moment to verify that this is the case. Now we will define a map  $\sigma : V \rightarrow S_4$  which is a group homomorphism, namely each element of  $V$  permutes the edges thus gives rise to an element of  $S_4$  since we have labelled the edges with the integers 1, 2, 3, 4. Thus,

$$e \mapsto \sigma(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, a \mapsto \sigma(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$b \mapsto \sigma(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, c \mapsto \sigma(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to check that this is indeed a homomorphism, we would have to verify  $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$  for all pairs  $(x, y) \in V \times V$ . Note that there are 16 such pairs. In general, if  $G_1$  is a finite group of order  $N$ , then to say that  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism is to summarize in one breath  $N^2$  different equalities! Thus, being a homomorphism is a *strong* condition.

A homomorphism  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is called *trivial* if  $f(g_1) = e_2$  for all  $g_1 \in G_1$ . You should check that this is indeed a homomorphism. Philosophically speaking, whenever there is a non-trivial homomorphism from a group  $G_1$  to a group  $G_2$ , then in some sense “a piece” of  $G_1$  (called a *quotient* of  $G_1$ ) is “identical” to “a piece” of  $G_2$  (called a *subgroup* of  $G_2$ ). This will be made precise later in the form of several “Isomorphism Theorems.”

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism, then  $f(e_1) = e_2$ , and  $f(g^n) = f(g)^n$  for all  $g \in G$ , and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $f(g^{-1}) = f(g)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* For example,  $f(e_1 *_1 e_1) = f(e_1) *_2 f(e_1) = f(e_1)$ . Now use the cancellation law (or multiply by  $f(e_1)^{-1}$ ) to get  $f(e_1) = e_2$ . Now  $f(g) *_2 f(g^{-1}) = f(g *_1 g^{-1}) = f(e_1) = e_2$  and similarly for  $f(g^{-1})f(g)$ . Hence,  $f(g^{-1})$  fulfills the role of inverse for  $f(g)$  in  $G_2$ . By the uniqueness of inverses,  $f(g^{-1}) = f(g)^{-1}$ . The other cases left to reader, or look in book, Lemma 2.36.  $\square$

Recall the group  $V$  from the example above. Under the map  $\sigma$ , it maps to the following group  $W = \{E, A, B, C\}$  where

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If you write the group tables for  $V$  and  $W$ , you will have a *déjà vu* feeling. You'll see that you're just writing the same group twice, the only difference being that the group elements have slightly different names. We say that the groups are *isomorphic*, the exact definition is to follow.

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose  $G_1, G_2$  are groups. A map  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is called a *group isomorphism* (isomorphism for short) if 1)  $f$  is a homomorphism, and 2)  $f$  is bijective.

When we study sets, we feel that two sets “have the same structure” if we can set up a one-to-one-correspondence between them (i.e. a bijection). Now a group is a set carrying the additional structure of a composition law (verifying certain special properties). We feel that two groups “have the same structure” if we can set up a one-to-one-correspondence between the underlying sets (i.e. a bijection) which, additionally, “respects” the law of composition (i.e. is a homomorphism).

**Definition 2.5.** An *automorphism* of a group  $G$  is an isomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G$ . The identity map from a group to itself is the trivial automorphism. The set of all automorphisms from  $G$  to itself is called  $\text{Aut}_{\text{gp}}(G)$ .

PROBLEM 7. Suppose  $G$  is a group. Consider the map  $\iota : G \rightarrow G$  which sends  $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ . Prove or disprove:  $\iota$  is always an automorphism of  $G$ . If this is false, can you think of a general condition on  $G$  under which it becomes true?

PROBLEM 8. Suppose  $(G_1, *_1, e_1)$  and  $(G_2, *_2, e_2)$  are groups, and  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is an isomorphism. Since  $f$  is a bijection, an inverse function  $f^{-1} : G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  exists and is unique. Prove that  $f^{-1}$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 2.6.** Suppose  $(G, *, e)$  is a group and  $H \subseteq G$  is a subset of  $G$ . We say that  $H$  is a *subgroup of  $G$*  if

- i)  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  implies that  $h_1 * h_2 \in H$  (“closure”)
- ii)  $e \in H$  (“identity”)
- iii) for all  $h \in H$ ,  $h^{-1} \in H$  (“inverse”).

Let us interpret this definition in the following way: i) says that when we restrict the binary operation from  $G$  to  $H$ , we obtain not just a map  $H \times H \rightarrow G$  but  $H \times H \rightarrow H$ . In other words, restricting the operation to elements of  $H$  yields a binary operation on  $H$ ! Properties ii) and iii) then simply say that this binary operation of  $H$  obtained by restricting the law of composition of  $G$  to  $H$  makes  $H$  into a group. We often write  $H \leq G$  as shorthand notation for  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Every group  $G$  has two God-given subgroups, namely,  $\{e\}$  the subgroup consisting of the identity alone, and  $G$  itself. A subgroup  $H \leq G$  is called *non-trivial* if  $H \neq \{e\}$ , and it is *proper* if  $H \neq G$ .

Example. The group  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$  consists of two-by-two matrices with rational entries having non-zero determinant, under matrix multiplication. It is a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . [check that this is so].

**Definition 2.7.** If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism, then

$$\ker(f) = \{g_1 \in G_1 \mid f(g_1) = e_2\}, \quad \text{Im}(f) = \{g_2 \in G_2 \mid g_2 = f(g_1) \text{ for some } g_1 \in G_1\}.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism, then  $\ker(f)$  is a subgroup of  $G_1$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$ .

*Proof.* This is very easy if you keep in mind that  $f(g^{-1}) = f(g)^{-1}$  and  $f(e_1) = e_2$ .  $\square$

PROBLEM 9. i) Verify that The set  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of two-by-two real-entry matrices having determinant 1 is a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

ii) Recall the group  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  consisting of non-zero numbers under multiplication. Now the determinant gives us a map

$$\det : GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times,$$

sending a matrix  $A$  to  $\det A$ . Is this a group homomorphism? Prove your answer is correct.

iii) Is  $\det : GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$  surjective? Is it injective? Justify your answers.

iv) What is  $\ker(\det)$ ?

v) Now reprove i) in an easy way using iv).

PROBLEM 10. Prove that a homomorphism is injective if and only if its kernel is trivial.

### 3. SUBGROUP GENERATED BY AN ELEMENT

**Definition 3.1.** If  $a \in G$ ,  $\langle a \rangle = \{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is called the subgroup generated by  $a$ .

To check that it is indeed a subgroup, all we need, really, is apply PROBLEM 1:  $a^{m+n} = a^m a^n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $a \in G$ ,  $|\langle a \rangle| = \text{ord}_G(a)$ , i.e. the cardinality of the subgroup generated by  $a$  coincides with the order of  $a$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $a$  has infinite order, then by definition,  $a^m \neq e$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . I claim that  $a, a^2, a^3, \dots$  are all pairwise distinct. Otherwise,  $a^i = a^{i+r}$  for some integers  $i, r \geq 1$ . By the cancellation law, we then would have  $e = a^r$  and recall that  $r \geq 1$ . Thus,  $a$  has finite order, a contradiction. Thus,  $a, a^2, a^3, \dots$  are all distinct, thus,  $|\langle a \rangle| = \infty$ . If  $a$  has finite order, then this lemma is proved in the proof of Prop 2.28 in the book, but here is the argument again. Say  $\text{ord}_G(a) = k < \infty$ . Then  $a^i \neq a^j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . Otherwise,  $a^i = a^j = a^{i+r}$  with  $j = i + r$  and  $i, r \geq 1$ . Since  $j = i + r \leq k$  and  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ . But  $a^r = 1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$  contradict the fact that  $k = \text{ord}_G(a)$  is the minimal exponent “killing”  $a$ . Thus,  $a, a^2, \dots, a_k$  are all distinct. Note that there are  $k$  of them. On the other hand,  $a^{k+1} = a$  and in general,  $a^t = a^i$  where  $i$  is the remainder when  $t$  is divided by  $k$ . We have shown that  $|\langle a \rangle| = k$ .  $\square$

PROBLEM 11. [EXTRA CREDIT] Show that a finite group of even order must contain an element of order 2. [Hint: one way to proceed (there are many) would be to show that there is an element of even order; why would that practically clinch it?]

## 4. TUNE IN NEXT WEEK FOR ...

If  $G$  is a finite group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , we know that  $|H| \leq |G|$  just because  $H$  is a subset of  $G$ . But it turns out that: **DRUMROLL PLEASE**

Our **TARGET THEOREM** for the near future: If  $G$  is a finite group and  $H$  is a subgroup of it, then  $|H|$  divides  $|G|$ . (Lagrange's Theorem).

In order to do this, we will introduce *cosets*, and review *equivalence classes*.