

CURVES, K3 SURFACES, FANO THREEFOLDS

PAUL HACKING

(Notes by Anna Kazanova)

No Fano 3-fold in fact (too bad).

First let's talk about curves. Let X be a complex projective smooth curve, i.e. a compact Riemann surface. We will concentrate on genus $g \geq 2$. (If $g = 0$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and if $g = 1$ then $X = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_2$).

- $g = 2$, there is a map $\phi : X \xrightarrow{2:1} \mathbb{P}^1$ with 6 branch points. In other words, $X = (F_6(X_0, X_1, Y)) \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3)$. After a change of coordinates the equation is of the form $Y^2 = G_6(X_0, X_1)$.
- $g = 3$. If X is not hyperelliptic then $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $F_4(X_0, X_1, X_2) = 0$ is a plane quartic.
- $g = 4$. Here $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is a codimension two complete intersection $F_2 = G_3 = 0$ (if not hyperelliptic).
- $g = 5$. $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is given by $(F_2 = G_2 = H_2 = 0)$.

Complete intersection property is not true in higher genus.

What is this embedding? Let X be a curve of genus g , then $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X) = \{\text{global holomorphic 1-forms on } X\}$. It is a complex vector space of dimension g , and $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X) = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we can define a map $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ by $(\omega_1 : \dots : \omega_g)$. It is called the canonical map, and there is the following theorem.

Theorem 0.1. *The map ϕ is embedding unless X is hyperelliptic.*

Note that $\deg(\phi(X)) = \#X \cap H$ where H is a general hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} , $\deg(\phi(X)) = (2g - 2) = \{ \# \text{ of zeroes of general } \omega \in \Gamma(\Omega) \}$.

For $g > 5$, $\phi(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is not complete intersection.

For $g = 6, 7, 8, 9$ Mukai gives an explicit description.

Eyal's note: In general, if $g \geq 2$, $\mathcal{M}_g = \text{moduli space of curves of genus } g$. So for the case $g = 3$ we have $(\mathbb{P}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(4)) \setminus \Delta) / PGL(3)$ is Zariski open in \mathcal{M}_3 . Here $\Delta = \text{discriminant (locus of singular curves)}$.

Recap: The Grassmannian $G(r, n) = \{r \text{ dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^r \mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{r}-1}$. Dimension $\dim G(r, n) = r(n - r)$.

Date: 03/02/2012.

- $g = 6$. Take Grassmanian $G(2, 5)$. Then $X = X_{1^4, 2} = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_4 \cap Q \subset G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$. (H = hyperplane section, Q = quadric section.) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5 = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_4$ is the canonical embedding.
- $g = 8$. Then $X = X_{1^7} = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_7 \subset G(2, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$.
- $g = 7$. Then $X = X_{1^7} = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_7 \subset OGr_+(5, 10) \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}$. Here OGr_+ = orthogonal Lagrangian Grassmanian. Take $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then OGr_+ is one of the two connected components of $\{L \subset V \mid \dim L = 1/2 \dim V, q|_L = 0\}$.
- $g = 9$, then $X = X_{1^5} \subset SG(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$ where SG = symplectic Lagrangian Grassmanian, defined as above but with the symmetric form q replaced by the alternating form $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

How? Why?

Recall X a variety, L a line bundle. Look at $\Gamma(X, L) = \langle s_0, \dots, s_N \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume that those sections do not vanish simultaneously, we get a map $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ defined by $(s_0 : \cdots : s_N)$. Equivalently, $\Gamma(X, L) \rightarrow L_p$ should be surjective for all $p \in X$.

Similarly, if E is a vector bundle on X of rank r . Assume E is globally generated: the map

$$(1) \quad \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow E_p$$

is surjective for all $p \in X$. Then we get a map $X \hookrightarrow G(r, \Gamma(X, E)^*)$ defined by $p \mapsto E_p^* \subset \Gamma(X, E)^*$ dual to the surjection (1).

Let $L = \det E = \wedge^r E$. Assume that $\wedge^r \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(L)$ is a surjection (so the dual map $\Gamma(L)^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^r \Gamma(E)^*$ is injective). Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi_E} & G(r, \Gamma(X, E)^*) \\ \phi_L \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Plucker} \\ \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(L)^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} & \mathbb{P}(\wedge^r \Gamma(E)^*) \end{array}$$

If we are lucky, it is Cartesian, i.e. $X = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(L)^*) \cap G(r, \Gamma(E)^*)$.

Who is E ?

Idea: If X curve such that $g \leq 9$, then X lies on a K3 surface S . Why do we care about lying on a K3? Mukai classified vector bundles on a K3. (paper from Tata institute on the webpage).

Situation we will use: Let S be a K3, L a line bundle on S , C a smooth curve on a K3 given by a global section of L . Also we want to assume that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$. We consider vector bundles E over S such that 1) $\det E = L$ and 2) E is uniquely determined by its topological

data, i.e. rank, $c_1(E) = L$ and $c_2(E)$. The second condition is achieved by insisting that E is stable in the sense of Mumford and choosing rank and $c_2(E)$ appropriately.

Look at $\chi(\text{End}(E)) = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(\text{End}E)$. By Riemann–Roch $\chi(\text{End}(E)) = r^2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + (r-1)c_1(E)^2 - 2rc_2(E)$. Also $\chi(\text{End}(E)) = 1-0+1 = 2$ (because $h^0(\text{End}(E)) = 1$ by stability, $h^2 = h^0 = 1$ by Serre duality (using $\text{End}(E)$ is self dual and $\omega_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S$), and $h^1(\text{End}(E)) = 0$ if E is rigid (no nontrivial deformations)). So $2 = 2r^2 + (r-1)L^2 - 2rc_2$. By adjunction $L^2 = 2g - 2$, thus we get the equation

$$rc_2 = (r-1)(r+g).$$

Eg. if $g = 8$, then $C \rightarrow G(2,6)$, so $C \subset S \rightarrow G(2,6)$. E is a vector bundle on S of rank 2, $\det E = L$, and $C \in |L|$, $L^2 = 2g - 2 = 14$. Then $2c_2 = 1(2+g) = 10$, $r = 2$, thus $c_2 = 5$. So E is a vector bundle on a K3 surface S with rank 2, $c_1(E)^2 = 14$, $c_2(E) = 5$. The K3 S containing C is not uniquely determined by C , so it is not obvious from this construction that $E|_C$ is uniquely determined by C , but one can show that it is.