

# On the cohomology of congruence subgroups of $SL_4(\mathbb{Z})$

Paul E. Gunnells

UMass Amherst

19 January 2009

# References

- Avner Ash, PG, and Mark McConnell, *Cohomology of congruence subgroups of  $SL_4(\mathbb{Z})$* , J. Number Theory **94** (2002).
- \_\_\_\_\_, *Cohomology of congruence subgroups of  $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ . II*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008).
- \_\_\_\_\_, *Cohomology of congruence subgroups of  $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ . III*, in preparation.

## Basic problem

Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $K = \mathrm{SO}(4)$ ,  $X = G/K$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N) \subset \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$  be the subgroup with bottom row congruent to  $(0, 0, 0, *) \pmod{N}$ .

Our goal is to compute the cohomology

$$H^5(\Gamma; \mathbb{C}) = H^5(\Gamma \backslash X; \mathbb{C}),$$

and to understand the action of the Hecke operators on this space.

# Why?

The cohomology of any arithmetic group is built out of certain automorphic forms, yet can be computed using topological tools.

- Gives a concrete way to compute automorphic forms that complements other approaches (e.g., theta series).
- Gives explicit examples of various constructions in automorphic forms (e.g, functorial liftings).
- Gives examples of automorphic forms that should be related to arithmetic objects (e.g., Galois representations). Gives way to test various “motivic  $\Leftrightarrow$  automorphic” conjectures.

# Arithmetic groups and automorphic forms

$\mathbf{G}$  semisimple connected algebraic group /  $\mathbb{Q}$

$G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  group of real points (Lie group)

$K \subset G$  maximal compact subgroup

$X = G/K$  global symmetric space

$\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  arithmetic subgroup

$E$  finite-dimensional rational complex representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$

# Arithmetic groups and automorphic forms

If  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free, then  $\Gamma \backslash X$  is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane space. We have

$$H^*(\Gamma; E) = H^*(\Gamma \backslash X; \mathcal{E}),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the local coefficient system attached to  $E$ .

True even if  $\Gamma$  has torsion, since we're using complex representations.

## $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology

We can get automorphic forms into the picture via the de Rham theorem. Let  $\Omega^p = \Omega^p(X, E)$  be the space of  $E$ -valued  $p$ -forms on  $X$ . Let  $\Omega^p(X, E)^\Gamma$  be the subspace of  $\Gamma$ -invariant forms. We have a differential  $d: \Omega^p \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}$  and have an isomorphism

$$H^*(\Gamma; E) = H^*(\Omega^*(X, E)^\Gamma).$$

## $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology

We can identify

$$\Omega^p(\Gamma \backslash X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G))$$

or more generally

$$\Omega^p(\Gamma \backslash X, E) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E)$$

RHS inherits a differential. The cohomology is denoted

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E)$$

and is called  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology.



# Cuspidal cohomology

We have

$$H^*(\Gamma; E) = H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E).$$

We can use this to identify important subspaces of the cohomology. For instance the inclusion

$$L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)^\infty \hookrightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$$

induces an injective map

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)^\infty \otimes E) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E).$$

The image  $H^*_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma; E) \subset H^*(\Gamma; E)$  is called the *cuspidal cohomology*.

## Borel conjecture

We also have the subspace of automorphic forms

$$A(\Gamma, G) \subset C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$$

(subspace of functions that are right  $K$ -finite, left  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite, and of moderate growth). '

### Theorem (Franke)

*The inclusion  $A(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$  induces an isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; A(\Gamma, G) \otimes E) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E)$$

Thus we can think of  $H^*(\Gamma; E)$  as being a concrete realization of certain automorphic forms, namely those with nonvanishing  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology. These were classified by Vogan–Zuckermann.

## Example: $SL_2$ and modular forms

If  $\mathbf{G} = SL_2$ , then  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $K = SO(2)$ , and  $X$  is the upper halfplane. Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the subgroup of matrices upper triangular modulo  $N$ .

Let  $E_k$  be the  $k$ -dimensional complex representation of  $G$ , say on the vector space of degree  $k - 1$  homogeneous complex polynomials in two variables.

We have

$$H^1(\Gamma; E_k) \simeq S_{k+1}(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_{k+1}(\Gamma) \oplus \text{Eis}_{k+1}(\Gamma),$$

where  $S_{k+1}$  is the space of holomorphic weight  $k + 1$  modular forms, and  $\text{Eis}_{k+1}$  is the space of weight  $k + 1$  Eisenstein series.

# Virtual cohomological dimension

Let  $q = q(\mathbf{G})$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Theorem (Borel–Serre)

*For all  $\Gamma$  and  $E$  as above, we have  $H^i(\Gamma; E) = 0$  if  $i > \dim X - q$ .*

The number  $\nu = \dim X - q$  is called the *virtual cohomological dimension*.

## Cuspidal range

The cuspidal cohomology doesn't appear in every cohomological degree. In fact, one can show that  $H_{\text{cusp}}^i(\Gamma; E) = 0$  unless the degree  $i$  lies in a small interval about  $(\dim X)/2$  (Li-Schwermer, Saper).

$n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\dim X$	2	5	9	14	20	27	35	44
$\nu(\Gamma)$	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36
top degree of $H_{\text{cusp}}^*$	1	3	5	8	11	15	19	24
bottom degree of $H_{\text{cusp}}^*$	1	2	4	6	9	12	16	20

**Table:** The virtual cohomological dimension and the cuspidal range for subgroups of  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$

## Connection with arithmetic geometry

The groups  $H^*(\Gamma; E)$  have an action of the *Hecke operators*, which are endomorphisms of the cohomology associated to certain finite index subgroups of  $\Gamma$ .

We expect eigenclasses of these operators to reveal arithmetic information in the cohomology.

# Galois representations and eigenclasses

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n/\mathbb{Q}, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$$

$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\rho: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  continuous semisimple Galois representation  
unramified outside  $pN$ .

$\mathrm{Frob}_l$  Frobenius conjugacy class over  $l$ .

We can consider the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(1 - \rho(\mathrm{Frob}_l) T),$$

On the cohomology side, for each prime  $l$  not dividing  $N$  we have Hecke operators  $T(l, k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . These operators generalize the classical operator  $T_l$  on modular forms.

If  $\xi$  is a Hecke eigenclass, define the *Hecke polynomial*

$$H(\xi) = \sum_k (-1)^k l^{k(k-1)/2} a(l, k) T^k \in \mathbb{C}[T].$$

where  $a(l, k)$  is the eigenvalue of  $T(l, k)$ .



Fix an isomorphism  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

### Conjecture (Ash)

For any Hecke eigenclass  $\xi$  of level  $N$ , there is a Galois representation  $\rho: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  unramified outside  $pN$  such that for every prime  $l$  not dividing  $pN$ , we have

$$H(\xi) = \det(1 - \rho(\text{Frob}_l)T).$$

This is the conjecture we're ultimately testing. Note that as stated the conjecture is primarily of interest in the case of *nonselfdual* eigenclasses, since one knows how to attach Galois representations to selfdual classes (Clozel). (Selfdual classes have palindromic and real eigenvalues.) Note that  $\Gamma \backslash X$  is *not* an algebraic variety, so can't use etale cohomology to look for Galois action.

Also of interest: consider torsion coefficients (will be the subject of later work).

## Our goals

- Compute  $H^5(\Gamma_0(N); \mathbb{C})$  for as big a range of levels  $N$  as possible. The degree 5 is chosen because it's in the cuspidal range, and is as close to the vcd  $\nu(\Gamma)$  as possible (more below).
- Compute the action of the Hecke operators on this space.
- Identify Galois representations attached to the cohomology
- Try to understand whatever we can about this cohomology space.

## Tools to compute the cohomology

For modular forms, i.e. the cohomology of subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we can use *modular symbols* to perform computations.

Recall that  $X$  is the upper halfplane. Let  $X^* = X \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$ . Given two cusps  $q_1, q_2 \in X^* \setminus X$ , we can form the geodesic from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  and can look at the image in  $\Gamma \backslash X^*$ .

This gives a relative homology class

$$[q_1, q_2] \in H_1(\Gamma \backslash X^*, \text{cusps}; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^1(\Gamma \backslash X; \mathbb{C}).$$

One knows that the vector space generated by the symbols  $[q_1, q_2]$  maps surjectively onto the cohomology, and can determine the relations:

- $[q_1, q_2] = -[q_2, q_1]$
- $[q_1, q_2] + [q_2, q_3] + [q_3, q_1] = 0.$
- $[\gamma q_1, \gamma q_2] = [q_1, q_2], \gamma \in \Gamma.$

## Hecke action

The Hecke operators act on the modular symbols. Given  $T_l$ , we can find finitely many matrices  $\{\gamma_i\}$  such that, if  $\xi = [q_1, q_2]$ , then

$$T_l \xi = \sum_i [\gamma_i q_1, \gamma_i q_2]$$

Moreover, we can identify a special set of modular symbols—the *unimodular symbols*—that

- is finite modulo  $\Gamma$  and
- spans  $H^1(\Gamma)$ .

These are the  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -translates of  $[0, i\infty]$ . The Hecke operators do not preserve the subspace of unimodular symbols, but there is an algorithm (“Manin’s trick”) to write any modular symbol as a linear combination of unimodular symbols.

$$n > 2$$

The situation for  $n > 2$  is more complicated:

- There is an analogue of the modular and unimodular symbols, and they provide a model for  $H^\nu(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ . One takes  $n$ -tuples of cusps  $[q_1, \dots, q_n]$  modulo relations, where now cusp means a minimal boundary component in a certain Satake compactification  $X^*$ .
- One can describe an analogue of Manin's trick (Ash–Rudolph)
- BUT: usually  $H_{\text{cusp}}^\nu = 0$ , since  $\nu(\Gamma)$  usually falls outside the cuspidal range.

Remark: for  $n = 3$ , one can use modular symbols to compute cuspidal cohomology (Ash–Grayson–Green).

$$n = 4$$

Nevertheless, we can overcome these problems, at least for  $n = 4$  (the first interesting case):

- We can define an explicit complex computing  $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ , the *sharply complex* (named in honor of Sczarba and Lee).
- We can identify a finite subcomplex mod  $\Gamma$ , using the well-rounded retract of Ash–Soule–Lannes (equivalently, Voronoi’s work on perfect quadratic forms).
- We can formulate an analogue of the Manin trick to compute the Hecke action on  $H^{\nu-1}(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ . (G)

The first two can be done for any  $n$ . The last one has only been tested in dimension 4.

## Remark about other cases

This setup is also useful in other cases, such as

- $R_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ , where  $F$  is real quadratic (Hilbert modular case) or  $F$  is complex quartic. (G–Yasaki)
- $R_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_3)$ , where  $F$  is complex quadratic.

In all these cases, the cuspidal cohomology meets  $H^{\nu-1}$  and not  $H^\nu$ .

# Results

We have computed  $H^5(\Gamma_0(N); \mathbb{C})$  for  $N$  prime and  $\leq 211$ , and for composite  $N$  up to 52. The biggest computation involved matrices of size  $845712 \times 3277686$  ( $N = 211$ ).

- No nonselfdual cuspidal classes were found :(
- We found Eisenstein classes (boundary cohomology) attached to weight 2 and weight 4 modular forms.
- We found Eisenstein classes attached to  $SL_3$  cuspidal cohomology.
- Found selfdual cuspidal classes that are apparently functorial lifts of Siegel modular forms.

For  $N$  prime we believe this is a complete description of the cohomology, apart from nonselfdual classes.



# Eisenstein cohomology

$\bar{X}$  partial bordification of  $X$  due to Borel–Serre  
 $\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}$  Borel–Serre compactification (orbifold with corners)  
 $\partial(\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}) = \Gamma \backslash \bar{X} \setminus \Gamma \backslash X$ .

We have

$$H^*(\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^*(\Gamma \backslash X; \mathbb{C}).$$

The inclusion  $\partial(\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \backslash \bar{X}$  induces a restriction map

$$H^*(\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(\partial(\Gamma \backslash \bar{X}); \mathbb{C}),$$

and *Eisenstein classes* are those restricting nontrivially to the boundary  
(Harder–Schwermer–Mannkopf)

## Weights 2 and 4

Each weight 2 eigenform  $f$  contributes to  $H^5(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$  in two different ways, with the Hecke polynomials

$$(1 - l^2 T)(1 - l^3 T)(1 - \alpha T + lT^2)$$

and

$$(1 - T)(1 - lT)(1 - l^2 \alpha T + l^5 T^2),$$

where  $T_l f = \alpha f$ .

A weight 4 eigenform  $g$  contributes with Hecke polynomial

$$(1 - lT)(1 - l^2 T)(1 - \beta T + l^3 T^2),$$

where  $T_l g = \beta g$ , if and only if the central special value of the  $L$ -function of  $g$  vanishes.

## $SL_3$ cuspidal classes

These cohomology classes were originally computed by Ash–Grayson–Green.

An  $SL_3$  cuspidal class with eigenvalues  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  contributes in two different ways, with the Hecke polynomials

$$(1 - l^3 T)(1 - \gamma T + l\gamma' T^2 - l^3 T^3)$$

and

$$(1 - T)(1 - l\gamma T + l^3\gamma' T^2 - l^6 T^3).$$

# Siegel modular forms

Recall the *paramodular group* of prime level

$$K(p) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let  $S^3(p)$  be the space of weight three paramodular forms (they are all cuspforms; there are no Eisenstein series).

This space contains the subspace  $S_G^3(p)$  of *Gritsenko lifts*, which are lifts from certain weight 3 Jacobi forms to  $S^3(p)$ .

Let  $S_{nG}^3(p)$  be the complement to  $S_G^3(p)$  in  $S^3(p)$ .

# Siegel modular forms

The space of cuspidal paramodular forms is known pretty explicitly. First we have a dimension formula due to Ibukiyama.

Let  $\kappa(a)$  be the Kronecker symbol  $(\frac{a}{p})$ . Define functions  $f, g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} 2/5 & \text{if } p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}, \\ 1/5 & \text{if } p = 5, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(p) = \begin{cases} 1/6 & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Ibukiyama's theorem

## Theorem (Ibukiyama)

For  $p$  prime we have  $\dim S^3(2) = \dim S^3(3) = 0$ . For  $p \geq 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim S^3(p) = & (p^2 - 1)/2880 \\ & + (p + 1)(1 - \kappa(-1))/64 + 5(p - 1)(1 + \kappa(-1))/192 \\ & + (p + 1)(1 - \kappa(-3))/72 + (p - 1)(1 + \kappa(-3))/36 \\ & + (1 - \kappa(2))/8 + f(p) + g(p) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using this one can easily compute the dimension of  $S_{nG}^3(p)$ .

# Hecke eigenvalues

Next, Poor and Yuen have developed a technique to compute Hecke eigenvalues for forms in  $S_{nG}^3(p)$ .

Putting these two together, we find

- For all  $p$ , the dimension of the subspace of  $H^5(\Gamma_0(p); \mathbb{C})$  not accounted for by the Eisenstein classes above matches  $2 \dim S_{nG}^3(p)$  according to Ibukiyama.
- In cases where we have computed the Hecke action on this subspace, we find full agreement with the data produced by Poor–Yuen.

## To do

- Prove that the Eisenstein classes we see actually occur for all  $p$ .
- Prove that we do indeed have a lift from Siegel modular forms to the cohomology.
- Investigate nontrivial coefficients, torsion coefficients.