

# Homework 8

Math 651  
Fall 2019

Due Friday, November 22, 2019

Consider Newton's equations

$$\begin{aligned}x' &= v, \text{ and} \\v' &= -\nabla V(x)\end{aligned}$$

for some *potential energy*  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . (Here,  $x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ .) Newton's equations model the motion of the planets, the vibrations of molecules, and many other phenomena. The simplest example of a system governed by Newton's equations is the *harmonic oscillator*

$$\begin{cases}x' = v, \text{ and} \\v' = -x,\end{cases}$$

for which the potential energy is

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2.$$

**Problem 1** (2+2+2 points).

1. Let  $x$  and  $v$  solve Newton's equations with potential energy  $V$ . Define the Hamiltonian

$$H(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + V(x).$$

Show that  $H(x(t), v(t)) = H(x(0), v(0))$  for all  $t > 0$ . This property is of extreme importance in physics: it is called conservation of energy.

Hint: What is  $\frac{d}{dt}H(x(t), v(t))$  when  $x$  and  $v$  solve Newton's equations?

2. Use Euler's method to solve the initial value problem for the harmonic oscillator with  $x_0 = 1$  and  $v_0 = 0$ . Compute the numerical solution up to time  $T = 30$  using the time step  $\Delta t = 0.02$ . Plot the numerical solution  $(x_n, v_n)$  as a curve in the  $xv$  plane. You should see a spiral. Plot the Hamiltonian as a function of time for the numerical solution. Observe that the Hamiltonian is not conserved for Euler's method!

3. Define the Störmer–Verlet Method

$$\begin{aligned}v_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= v_n - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \nabla V(x_n) \\x_{n+1} &= x_n + \Delta t v_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\v_{n+1} &= v_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \nabla V(x_{n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Observe that Störmer–Verlet is similar to Euler’s Method, except that the update of the variable  $v$  is split into two pieces. Use Störmer–Verlet to solve the initial value problem for the harmonic oscillator with  $x_0 = 1$  and  $v_0 = 0$ . Compute the numerical solution up to time  $T = 30$  using the time step  $\Delta t = 0.02$ . Make the same plots as for Euler’s method. Observe that the Hamiltonian is nearly conserved.

*Remark 1.* The Störmer–Verlet Method is a *symplectic integrator*. Symplectic integrators preserve certain geometric properties of Newton’s equations. In general, for symplectic integrators, the Hamiltonian is not exactly constant over trajectories, but one can show that a slightly perturbed version of the Hamiltonian is very nearly constant. No such property holds for Euler’s method.

**Problem 2** (3 points). Recall that the trapezoidal rule is the numerical integrator defined by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{\Delta t}{2}(f(x_n, n\Delta t) + f(x_{n+1}, (n+1)\Delta t)).$$

Find the linear stability domain of the trapezoidal rule.

**Problem 3** (2+2+4+2 points). Recall that the implicit Euler method is the numerical integrator defined by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \Delta t f(x_{n+1}, (n+1)\Delta t).$$

Assume that the right-hand-side  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the initial value problem is globally Lipschitz with constant  $L$ . That is,

$$\|f(x, t) - f(y, t)\| \leq L\|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $T > 0$ . Assume that  $\Delta t L \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

1. Prove that the implicit Euler method is consistent of order one. That is, show that for any  $t \in [0, T - \Delta t]$ ,

$$\|x(t + \Delta t) - x(t) - \Delta t f(x(t + \Delta t), t + \Delta t)\| \leq C\Delta t^2,$$

where  $x(t)$  is the exact solution of the IVP and  $C$  is some constant that may depend on  $x(t)$  but that does not depend on  $\Delta t$ . You may assume without comment that  $x(t)$  is twice continuously differentiable.

2. To assist in your proof of stability below, show that whenever  $\Delta t L \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \Delta t L} \leq \exp(2L\Delta t).$$

3. Prove that the implicit Euler method is stable. To be more precise, suppose that  $y_n$  solves

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_{n+1}, (n+1)\Delta t) + G_n,$$

where  $\|G_n\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Show that

$$\max_{n=0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta t} \rfloor} \|y_n - x_n\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t L} \exp(2LT).$$

4. Prove that the implicit Euler method is convergent of order one. That is, show

$$\max_{n=0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta t} \rfloor} \|x_n - x(n\Delta t)\| \leq D\Delta t \exp(2LT)$$

for some constant  $D$  that may depend on the exact solution  $x(t)$  of the IVP but that does not depend on  $\Delta t$ .

**Problem 4** (5 points). Let  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , and let  $u \in C^2([0, 1])$  be a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(x) = f(x) & \text{for } x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, \\ u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Show that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{8} \|f\|_\infty.$$

Hint: Mimic the argument for the discrete analogue of this result. Use the comparison function

$$\phi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2.$$

**Problem 5** (2+1+2 points). Define the forwards finite difference operator  $D_{\Delta x} : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  by

$$(Dv)_i = \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\Delta x} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

When  $i = N-1$  above, we set  $v_N = 0$ . Recall that we adopted a similar convention in defining the discrete Laplacian  $\Delta_{\Delta x}$ .

1. Define the backwards difference

$$(D_{\Delta x}^- v)_i := \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\Delta x} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

where again we take  $v_0 = 0$ . Show that  $-D_{\Delta x}^t = D_{\Delta x}^-$ .

Hint: The right way to do this is to show  $\langle D_{\Delta x} u, v \rangle = -\langle u, D_{\Delta x}^- v \rangle$  for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ . You don't ever have to explicitly write the difference operator as a matrix, and that makes everything easier.

2. What does the above have to do with integration by parts?
3. Show that  $\Delta_{\Delta x} = -D_{\Delta x}^t D_{\Delta x}$ .

Hint: Again, the right way is to show that  $\langle \Delta_{\Delta x} u, v \rangle = -\langle D_{\Delta x} u, D_{\Delta x} v \rangle$ . You shouldn't ever have to explicitly write down and multiply matrices.

The problem above will be continued next week—you'll see the point eventually.