

## Continuous symmetry breaking in a mean-field model

Theodor Eisele<sup>†</sup> and Richard S Ellis<sup>‡§</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, D-6900 Heidelberg 1, BRD

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, 01003, USA

Received 14 June 1982

**Abstract.** A magnetic system on the sites  $\{j/n; j = 1, \dots, n\}$  of the circle  $T \doteq \mathbb{R} \pmod{1}$  is studied in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The interaction is defined in terms of a continuous function  $J(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in T$ . For any ferromagnetic  $J$  ( $J > 0$ ) which satisfies a normalisation condition, the thermodynamic behaviour is identical to that of the Curie–Weiss model ( $J \equiv 1$ ). This simple case is in contrast to the behaviour for a class of translation invariant, non-ferromagnetic  $J$ , for which a continuum of equilibrium states exists for sufficiently low temperatures. In both cases a probabilistic interpretation of the equilibrium states is given.

### 1. Introduction

For each  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  we define a magnetic system on the circle  $T \doteq \mathbb{R} \pmod{1}$ . Let  $J(x, y)$  be an arbitrary continuous function of  $x, y \in T$  and let  $\beta > 0$  denote the inverse absolute temperature. Our model is defined by the partition functions

$$Z(n, \beta) \doteq \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n = \pm 1} \exp \left[ \frac{\beta}{2n} \sum_{j, k=1}^n J \left( \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \right) \sigma_j \sigma_k \right]. \quad (1)$$

Each  $\sigma_j$  denotes the spin at the site  $j/n$ , and the exponent in (1) equals  $-\beta$  times the energy of the spin configuration  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . The case  $J \equiv 1$  defines the well known Curie–Weiss (or mean field) model (Thompson 1972, § 4.5).

In this paper we contrast the relatively simple thermodynamic behaviour for ferromagnetic  $J$  ( $J > 0$ ) with the much more complicated behaviour for a class of translation invariant, non-ferromagnetic  $J$ . The latter are given by

$$J(x, y) = -b + \nu \cos(2\pi p(x - y)) \quad (2)$$

for some  $b \geq 0$ ,  $\nu \neq 0$ , and  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . If  $b$  exceeds  $|\nu|$ , then  $J$  in (2) is antiferromagnetic ( $J \leq 0$ ). Basically, in the thermodynamic limit the general ferromagnetic case behaves exactly like the Curie–Weiss model while in the non-ferromagnetic case we have continuous symmetry breaking. Full details plus generalisations are given in Eisele and Ellis (1981).

In § 2 we describe a Gibbs variational formula for the specific free energy for general  $J$ . Sections 3 and 4 list the equilibrium states and give a probabilistic interpretation of these states in the non-ferromagnetic and ferromagnetic cases, respectively.

§ Research supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS80-02149.

The probabilistic interpretation involves spin random variables  $\bar{\sigma}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_n^{(n)}$ . These are defined by the joint density

$$P_{n,\beta}\{\bar{\sigma}_1^{(n)} = \sigma_1, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_n^{(n)} = \sigma_n\} \doteq \left[ \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n J(j/n, k/n)\sigma_j\sigma_k\right) \right] / Z(n, \beta), \tag{3}$$

where  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  is any configuration of spins. The density (3) defines the Gibbs measure corresponding to the partition function  $Z(n, \beta)$ . The probabilistic behaviour of the Curie–Weiss model has been studied extensively in Ellis and Newman (1978a, b) and Ellis *et al* (1980).

**2. Gibbs variational formula**

The specific free energy  $\psi(\beta)$  is defined by the formula

$$-\beta\psi(\beta) \doteq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z(n, \beta). \tag{4}$$

Our first result is a variational formula for  $\psi(\beta)$ . We define  $B$  to be the space of measurable functions  $f$  on  $T$  for which

$$-1 \leq \text{ess inf } f \leq \text{ess sup } f \leq 1, \tag{5}$$

where *ess inf* and *ess sup* denote essential infimum and essential supremum, respectively. For each  $f \in B$  we define the functional

$$u(f) \doteq -\frac{1}{2} \int_T \int_T J(x, y)f(x)f(y) \, dx \, dy. \tag{6}$$

Let  $i(z)$  denote the non-negative, strictly convex function

$$i(z) \doteq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1+z) \log(1+z) + \frac{1}{2}(1-z) \log(1-z) & \text{if } |z| \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } |z| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and  $s(f)$  the functional

$$s(f) \doteq - \int_T i(f(x)) \, dx. \tag{8}$$

*Theorem 1.* Let  $J(x, y)$  be an arbitrary continuous function of  $x, y \in T$ . Then for  $\beta > 0$

$$\psi(\beta) = \inf\{u(f) - (1/\beta)s(f) : f \in B\}. \tag{9}$$

We think of  $B$  as the set of all possible states of the system in the thermodynamic limit. Then in the state  $f$ ,  $u(f)$  gives the energy,  $s(f)$  the entropy, and  $u(f) - \beta^{-1}s(f)$  the free energy.

*Definition 2.* A function  $\tilde{f} \in B$  is called an equilibrium state at inverse temperature  $\beta$  if

$$u(\tilde{f}) - (1/\beta)s(\tilde{f}) = \inf\{u(f) - (1/\beta)s(f) : f \in B\}. \tag{10}$$

We denote by  $G(\beta)$  the set of all equilibrium states at inverse temperature  $\beta$ .

In order to motivate the results that follow, we point out that the equilibrium states in the limits  $\beta \uparrow \infty$  and  $\beta \downarrow 0$  are easy to find explicitly. By theorem 1 the totally ordered states, which are defined to be the equilibrium states in the limit  $\beta \uparrow \infty$ , are the functions  $\tilde{f}$  which minimise  $u(f)$ . For any  $J > 0$ , we have  $\tilde{f} \equiv 1$  or  $\tilde{f} \equiv -1$ . Now let  $J$  be given by (2) and define the function

$$g(x) \doteq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \cos(2\pi px) > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \cos(2\pi px) < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \cos(2\pi px) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Then either  $\tilde{f}(x) = g(x)$ ,  $x \in T$ , or (since  $J$  is translation-invariant)  $\tilde{f}(x) = g(x + \lambda)$ , where the phase shift  $\lambda$  is some number in  $T$ . Thus for  $J$  given by (2) we have continuous symmetry breaking in the limit  $\beta \uparrow \infty$ . In the limit  $\beta \downarrow 0$ , (9) does not make sense, but it is consistent with (9) to define the equilibrium states to be the functions  $\tilde{f}$  which maximise  $s(f)$ . Since  $s(f)$  is non-positive for all  $f$ , we have  $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$  for any  $J$ .

### 3. Non-ferromagnetic $J$

Let  $J$  be given by (2). We first describe  $G(\beta)$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . For each  $\beta > 2/|\nu|$ , one checks that the equation

$$\mu = \int_T \cos(2\pi px) \tanh[\beta \nu \mu \cos(2\pi px)] dx \quad (12)$$

has a unique positive root  $\mu = \mu(\beta, \nu, p)$ . We define

$$g_\beta(x) \doteq \tanh[\beta \nu \mu \cos(2\pi px)], \quad x \in T. \quad (13)$$

This is an odd function of  $\cos(2\pi px)$ , and so it has the same periodicity properties as  $J$ .

*Theorem 3.* For  $J$  given by (2),

$$G(\beta) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 2/|\nu|, \\ \{g_\beta(+\lambda); \lambda \in T\} & \text{if } \beta > 2/|\nu|. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Theorem 3 is consistent with the discussion at the end of § 2 since  $g_\beta$  in (13) tends to the function  $g$  in (11) as  $\beta$  tends to  $\infty$ .

For the probabilistic interpretation, we recall the spin random variables  $\bar{\sigma}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_n^{(n)}$  with joint density (3). Given an interval  $\Delta$  on  $T$ , we define the total spin in  $\Delta$ ,  $W_n(\Delta)$ , by the formula

$$W_n(\Delta) \doteq \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\{j: j/n \in \Delta\}} \bar{\sigma}_j^{(n)}, \quad (15)$$

where  $|\Delta|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Delta$ . If  $\Delta$  is all of  $T$ , then we write  $W_n$  instead of  $W_n(T)$ . We consider a global law of large numbers and local laws of large numbers for the spin. The former describes the limiting distribution of the total spin in  $T$ ,  $W_n/n$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The latter describe the limiting joint distribution of the vector of local spins  $(W_n(\Delta_1)/n, \dots, W_n(\Delta_r)/n)$ , where  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$  are  $r$  intervals in  $T$  ( $r \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ). Although the global law follows from the local laws for  $r = 1$ ,  $\Delta_1 \doteq T$ , it is useful to discuss both. We write  $E_{n,\beta}\{-\}$  for the expectation with respect to the measure  $P_{n,\beta}$  in (3).

*Theorem 4.* Let  $J$  be given by (2). Then for any continuous function  $h$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,\beta} \left\{ h \left( \frac{W_n}{n} \right) \right\} = h(0) \text{ for all } \beta > 0. \tag{16}$$

More generally, for any  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , any  $r$  intervals  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$  in  $T$ , and any continuous function  $h$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}^r$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,\beta} \{ h(W_n(\Delta_1)/n, \dots, W_n(\Delta_r)/n) \} \\ = \begin{cases} h(\mathbf{0}) & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 2/|\nu|, \\ \int_T h(g_\beta(\lambda; \Delta_1), \dots, g_\beta(\lambda; \Delta_r)) d\lambda & \text{if } \beta > 2/|\nu|. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here  $\mathbf{0}$  is the constant vector  $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $g_\beta(\lambda; \Delta_j)$  is defined as  $|\Delta_j|^{-1} \int_{\Delta_j} g_\beta(x + \lambda) dx$ .

In order to interpret the limit (17), we assume that each  $\Delta_j$  is a small interval with centre  $x_j \in T$ . Then for  $\beta > 2/|\nu|$ , the right-hand side of (17) is close to  $\int_T h[g_\beta(x_1 + \lambda), \dots, g_\beta(x_r + \lambda)] d\lambda$ . The latter is the expectation of the random variable  $h[g_\beta(x_1 + \lambda(\omega)), \dots, g_\beta(x_r + \lambda(\omega))]$ , where  $\lambda(\omega)$  is a random phase shift, uniformly distributed in  $T$ . Theorem 4 implies that for all  $\beta > 0$  we have zero magnetisation per site as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (because of (16)) but for  $\beta > 2/|\nu|$  the spins cluster into  $2p$  alternating islands of plus spins and minus spins as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The spins are described locally by a wave with shape  $g_\beta$  but with random phase shift.

#### 4. Ferromagnetic $J$

We assume that  $J(x, y) > 0$  is a continuous function of  $x, y \in T$  which satisfies the normalisation conditions

$$\int_T J(x, y) dy = 1 = \int_T J(x, y) dx \quad \text{for each } x, y \in T. \tag{18}$$

We show that the thermodynamic behaviour for such  $J$  is identical to that for the case  $J \equiv 1$ , which defines the Curie-Weiss model.

For  $\beta > 1$  the Curie-Weiss model exhibits spontaneous magnetisation. The value of the latter is a number  $m(\beta)$  which is the unique positive solution of the equation

$$\tanh(\beta m) = m. \tag{19}$$

For  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , there is no spontaneous magnetisation.

The next two theorems are the analogues of theorems 3 and 4, respectively. We write  $\mathbf{1}$  for the constant function 1 on  $T$ .

*Theorem 5.* Let  $J(x, y) > 0$  be a continuous function of  $x, y \in T$  which satisfies (18). We have

$$G(\beta) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ \{m(\beta)\mathbf{1}, -m(\beta)\mathbf{1}\} & \text{if } \beta > 1. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

*Theorem 6.* Let  $J$  be as in theorem 5. Then for any continuous function  $h$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,\beta} \{h(W_n/n)\} = \begin{cases} h(0) & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}[h(m(\beta)) + h(-m(\beta))] & \text{if } \beta > 1. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

More generally, for any  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , any  $r$  intervals  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$  in  $T$ , and any continuous function  $h$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}^r$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,\beta} \{h(W_n(\Delta_1)/n), \dots, (W_n(\Delta_r)/n)\} \\ = \begin{cases} h(\mathbf{0}) & \text{if } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}[h(\mathbf{m}(\beta)) + h(-\mathbf{m}(\beta))] & \text{if } \beta > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here  $\mathbf{m}(\beta)$  is the constant vector  $(m(\beta), \dots, m(\beta)) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ .

We refer to the states  $m(\beta)\mathbf{1}$  and  $-m(\beta)\mathbf{1}$  in (20) as the plus state and the minus state, respectively. In contrast to the situation in theorem 4, theorem 6 shows that for ferromagnetic interactions, the local structure of both the plus state and the minus state completely mimics the global structure.

### References

Eisele T and Ellis R S 1981 *Symmetry breaking and random waves for magnetic systems on a circle*. Univ. Heidelberg/Univ. Massachusetts preprint *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth. u. verw. Geb.* (to appear)  
 Ellis R S and Newman C M 1978a *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth. u. verw. Geb.* **44** 117–39  
 — 1978b *J. Stat. Phys.* **19** 149–61  
 Ellis R S, Newman C M and Rosen J S 1980 *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth. u. verw. Geb.* **51** 153–69  
 Thompson C 1972 *Mathematical Statistical Mechanics* (New York: Macmillan) § 4.5