

HW 3

Sec 2.1 page 65, #2.1.2:

Let X be a ^{connected} compact complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m . Then the coordinate functions z_i restrict to X as holomorphic functions, which must be constant, by Liouville's Thm (in higher dimension). Hence, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is a constant map, and X is a point.

Sec. 2.2 page 75 problem 2.2.3:

Given holomorphic vector bundles E, F , we have the canonical isomorphism of vector bundles

$$(*) \quad \text{Hom}(E, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} E^* \otimes F.$$

In terms of a local frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of E it sends a section ψ of $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ to

$$\psi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* \otimes \psi(e_i)$$

where $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ is the dual frame of E^* .

The isomorphism $(*)$ is independent of the choice of a local frame (and thus glues to a global vector bundle isomorphism, a local frame \Leftrightarrow local trivialization).

Given holomorphic vector bundles V, W , and L we get the isomorphism

$$(+)$$

$$\text{Hom}(V \otimes W, L) \underset{\text{by } (*)}{\simeq} (V^* \otimes W^*) \otimes L \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{of tensor} \\ \text{product}}}{\simeq} V^* \otimes (W^* \otimes L) \underset{\text{by } (*)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}(V, W^* \otimes L).$$

Let E be a rank n vector bundle.

The wedge product bilinear pairing

()**

$$\bigwedge^k E \otimes \bigwedge^{n-k} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^n E$$

is defined in terms of a local frame

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \text{ by } (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{n-k}}) \mapsto e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{n-k}}$$

We know, from linear algebra, that the pairing $(*)$ is canonical, independent of the choice of a local frame (fiber by fiber it is independent of the choice of a basis). Hence, it glues to a global homomorphism of vector bundle (clearly everywhere of rank 1).

Taking $V := \wedge^r E$, $W := \wedge^{n-r} E$, and $L := \wedge^n E$, in $(+)$, we get that the pairing $(*)$ corresponds to a global holomorphic section h of $\text{Hom}(\wedge^r E, \wedge^{n-r} E^* \otimes \wedge^n E)$.

Fiber by fiber, we know from linear algebra that the pairing $(*)$ is non-degenerate, so that the section h is an isomorphism of the fibers over every point. Hence h is a vector bundle isomorphism.

page 73, 2.2.2 : Let L be a holomorphic line
(connected)

bundle on a compact complex manifold X ,
 $0 \neq s \in H^0(X, L)$, $0 \neq h \in H^0(X, L^*)$.

Then the locus where the section $s \otimes h$ of
 $H^0(X, L \otimes L^*)$ vanishes is the union of two
proper analytic subsets, hence a
proper " " . Thus, $s \otimes h$ is
a non trivial section of the trivial l.b.,
corresponding to a non zero section
of \mathcal{O}_X . But $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$, by Liouville's
Theorem, so a non-trivial global holo
function on X is constant, hence nowhere
zero. Hence, s and h are
nowhere zero, i.e., global isomorphisms,

$L \otimes L^*$,

Sec 2.2 page 76 problem
2.2.13:

$$\pi: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m / \Lambda =: X$$

Choose an ^{open} covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X , such that π restricts to each connected component of $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ as an isomorphism onto U_i .

Given $i, j \in I$ and connected components

\tilde{U}_i of $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ and \tilde{U}_j of $\pi^{-1}(U_j)$, set $e_i = (\pi|_{\tilde{U}_i})^{-1}: U_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_i$, define $e_j: U_j \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ similarly. We get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \xrightarrow{e_i} & \tilde{U}_i \\ \cup & \searrow & \nearrow \\ U_i \cap U_j & \xrightarrow{e_i(U_i \cap U_j)} & \tilde{U}_i \\ \cap & \searrow & \nearrow \\ U_j & \xrightarrow{e_j} & \tilde{U}_j \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right\} e_{ij}$$

Now, $e_{ij} = \left(\pi|_{\tilde{U}_i}^{-1} \right) \circ \left(\pi|_{\tilde{U}_j} \right) \circ e_j(U_i \cap U_j)$. Hence,

on each connected component C of $e_j(U_i \cap U_j)$, e_{ij} is given by translation by an element $x_C \in \Lambda$, which depends only on C . Thus, the Jacobian $J(e_{ij})|_C: e_j(U_i \cap U_j) \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ is the identity map.

Hence, the cocycle $\mathcal{I}(U_{ij})$ defining TX (Def 2.2.14) is trivial, and so TX is the trivial vector bundle. \square

The canonical lb, $K_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \wedge^m T^*X$ is trivial, hence

$$H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes m}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

So $R(X) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes m})$ is

isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[t]$, where $\{t\}$ is a basis for $H^0(X, K_X)$. Hence

$$\text{rkod}(X) = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[t]) - 1 = 0.$$

\uparrow 1
Def 2.2.26

Page 97 problem 2.4.10 Notation:

We let $V = \mathbb{C}^m$, $Gr(r, V)$ = the Grassmannian of r -dimensional subspace of V .

$M_{r, m}$:= subset of $r \times m$ matrices of rank r .

$GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_{r, m}$ and the quotient is $Gr(r, V)$. Let $\pi: M_{r, m} \rightarrow Gr(r, V)$ be the (holomorphic) quotient map.

Given an ordered subset $I := (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, and a matrix $M \in M_{r, m}$, let M_I be the $r \times r$ minor with columns i_1, \dots, i_r . Let $\tilde{U}_I \subset M_{r, m}$ be the open subset $\{M: M_I \text{ is invertible}\}$.

Set $U_I := \pi(\tilde{U}_I) \subset Gr(r, V)$. Then $\pi^{-1}(U_I) = \tilde{U}_I$, so that $\{U_I\}$ is an open covering of $Gr(r, V)$.

Let $p_I: M_{r, m} \rightarrow M_{r, m-r}$ be the map deleting the r columns indexed by I (and here $M_{r, m-r}$ consists of all matrices).

Set $e_I: U_I \rightarrow M_{r, m-r} \cong \mathbb{C}^{r \times (m-r)}$,
 $e_I(\pi(M)) = p_I \left[M_I^{-1} \cdot M \right]$.

Then e_I is well defined (check!) and injective into $M_{r, m-r}$. \square

Let $\hat{e}_I: M_{\mathbb{R}, m} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}, m}$ be $\hat{e}_I(M) := M_I^{-1} \cdot M$. Clearly, its image $\hat{e}_I(M_{\mathbb{R}, m})$ is isomorphic to the image of e_I .

If $M \in \tilde{U}_I \cap \tilde{U}_J$ then the gluing transformation $e_{IJ}: \hat{e}_J(U_{IJ})$ to $\hat{e}_I(U_{IJ})$ is

$$e_{IJ}(\hat{e}_J(\pi(M))) = e_{IJ}(M_J^{-1} M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_I^{-1} M = (M_I^{-1} M_J) \hat{e}_J(\pi(M)).$$

Note that $e_{IJ}: \underbrace{\hat{e}_J(U_{IJ})}_{M_{\mathbb{R}, m-r}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\hat{e}_I(U_{IJ})}_{M_{\mathbb{R}, m-r}}$

is given by left multiplication by values of a well defined holomorphic map

$$\tilde{e}_{IJ}: U_{IJ} \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\tilde{e}_{IJ}(\pi(M)) := M_I^{-1} M_J.$$

$\tilde{e}_{I,J}$ is well defined, since given an element $A \in GL(r, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$(AM)_I^{-1} (AM)_J = (M_I^{-1} A^{-1}) (AM_J) = M_I^{-1} M_J.$$

Now we follow the proof in the $\mathbb{P}V$ case presented in class.

$$\text{Let } a : GL(V) \times Gr(\mathbb{R}, V) \rightarrow Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$$

$$(A, W) \longmapsto A(W).$$

Then a is a holomorphic map.

$$\text{Given } W \in Gr(\mathbb{R}, V), \text{ let } a_W : GL(V) \rightarrow Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$$

$$A \longmapsto A(W).$$

Claim: $da_{W|id_V} : T_{id_V} GL(V) \rightarrow T_W Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$

is surjective and its kernel is

$$\{A \in \text{End}(V) : A(W) \subset W\}.$$

Proof: $GL(V)$ acts transitively on $Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$, and given $B \in GL(V)$,

$$\ker(da_{B(W)|id_V}) = B \ker(da_{W|id_V}) B^{-1}.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove the claim for one subspace, say $V = \mathbb{C}^m$, $W := \pi(M_0)$, and $M_0 := (I_{\mathbb{R}} | 0) \in M_{\mathbb{R}, m}$, with $P_{I_0}(M_0) = 0$.

Then $M_0 \in U_{I_0}$, $I_0 = (1, \dots, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of A

Consider the open subset G_0 of $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ where the top left $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ block \hat{A} of A is invertible.

$$\text{Then } \varphi_{I_0}(a_{W_0}(A)) = \varphi_{I_0}(A(W_0)) = \varphi_{I_0}(\pi(M_0 A^T)) =$$

$$P_{I_0}((\hat{A}^T)^{-1} M_0 A^T)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (da_{W_0}|_{id_V})(A) &= \frac{d}{dz}\bigg|_{z=0} (a_{W_0}(I+zA)) = \\
 &= \frac{d}{dz}\bigg|_{z=0} \left(\left(\widehat{(I+zA)^T} \right)^{-1} M_0 (I+zA)^T \right) = \\
 &= \frac{d}{dz}\bigg|_{z=0} \left(\widehat{(I+zA)^T} \right)^{-1} M_0 + M_0 \frac{d}{dz}\bigg|_{z=0} (I+zA)^T \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dz}\bigg|_{z=0} \left(\widehat{(I+zA)^T} \right) = -\hat{A}^T
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \underbrace{-\hat{A}^T M_0}_{(-\hat{A}^T | 0)} + \underbrace{M_0 A^T}_{\text{first } r \text{ rows of } A^T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ a_{r+1,1} & a_{r+1,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & a_{m,r} \end{pmatrix}^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Surjectivity of $da_{W_0}|_{id_V}$ follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Furthermore } \ker(da_{W_0}|_{id_V}) &= \left\{ A : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } \begin{matrix} r+1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq r \end{matrix} \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ A : AW_0 \subset W_0 \right\}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

The differential $da: T[GL(V) \times Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)] \rightarrow TGr(\mathbb{R}, V)$ is a surjective vector bundle homomorphism, by the claim. Over the submanifold

$\{id_V\} \times Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$
 we get the surjective vector bundle homo
 (where $\underline{V} := Gr(\mathbb{R}, V) \times V$ is the trivial vector bundle)

$da: End(\underline{V}) \oplus TGr(\mathbb{R}, V) \rightarrow TGr(\mathbb{R}, V)$,
 and the claim implies that its restriction to the first summand is surjective as well

$$da_1: End(\underline{V}) \rightarrow TGr(\mathbb{R}, V)$$

and its kernel is the subbundle, whose fibers over $W \in Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$ is

$$\{A \in End(V) : A(W) \subset W\}_0$$

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \xrightarrow{g} Q \rightarrow 0$$

of the tautological subbundle S , whose fiber over $W \in Gr(\mathbb{R}, V)$ is W . We get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \circ \epsilon & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Hom(Q, \underline{V}) & \rightarrow & Hom(\underline{V}, \underline{V}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} & Hom(S, \underline{V}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{\delta} & \downarrow g_* \\ & & Hom(\underline{V}, Q) & \rightarrow & Hom(S, Q) & & \end{array}$$

Both ϵ_* and g_* are surjective, hence $\delta := g_* \circ \epsilon_*$ is surjective and $\delta(A) = g_* \circ A \circ \epsilon$.

Hence, $\ker(\delta) = \ker(da_1)$. Thus,

$$TGr(\mathbb{R}, V) \cong End(\underline{V}) / \ker(da_1) \cong Hom(\underline{V}, \underline{V}) / \ker(\delta) \cong Hom(S, Q) \quad \square$$