# MATH 455 PROBLEM SET HINTS

#### PROBLEM SET I

These are (usually) not complete solutions for the problems, but are intended to give you the basic ideas needed for a solution. If the basics of a problem are covered in class, either through working it out or doing a similar example, then we omit it here. Complete solutions typically involve more writing than is given here.

## §1.1.1.

- (1) Draw  $K_{10}$  with the vertices in a circle and then erase the diagonals that go directly across.
- (2) Make a vertex for each person, and draw  $A \to B$  is B is on A's list.
- (3) Draw the graph with the indicated edges, and put a double edge if \* appears.

### §1.1.2.

- (1) The complete graph  $K_n$  has n(n-1)/2 edges (n choose 2).
- (3) (a) Let  $N_k$  be the number of paths with k steps that start at c and walk away. Then we want  $\sum_{k=0}^{4} N_k$ . Think of counting sequences of distinct vertices. For instance, to get a walk of length 4, we need to write something like cxyzw, where x, y, z, w are some ordering of  $\{a, b, d, e\}$ . There are  $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 24$  such choices, so  $N_4 = 24$ . Similarly we find  $N_0 = 1$ ,  $N_1 = 4$ ,  $N_2 = 12$ , and  $N_3 = 24$ . So the total is 65.
  - (b) This is very similar.
  - (c) 10.
  - (d) This is the same as the maximum length circuit in  $K_4$ . This is 4.
- (9) The max will when the graph has two connected components, each of which are complete graphs. A little experimentation shows that we will want one component to be  $K_1$  and the other to be  $K_{n-1}$ .
- (11) If e is part of a cycle, it cannot be a bridge (for after you delete e you can still make a walk from the endpoints of e to each other). Conversely, if e is not part of a cycle, it must be a bridge, since after deleting e there will be no path between its endpoints.

#### §1.1.3.

(2) The degrees of the vertices are either  $r_1$  or  $r_2$ . So if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , the graph can't possibly be regular.

### PROBLEM SET I

- (3) It is not, since  $K_{4,4}$  contains no copy of  $K_3$  as a subgraph, and  $K_3$  is a subgraph of  $K_4$  (cf. Thm 1.3)
- (4) It is not, since it would have to contain all vertices of  $K_4$ . But the induced graph of the full set of vertices is the original graph  $K_4$ , which is not  $P_4$ .
- (7) For (a) and (b), just draw them out. For (c), the order of L(G) is the same as the size of G. The size of L(G) is more complicated. If a vertex v has degree r, then we get a copy of  $K_r$  as a subgraph of L(G) (because each of the r edges emanating from v becomes a vertex in L(G), and because any pair of these vertices in L(G) must be joined by a edge in L(G)). By ranging v over all the vertices of G we get all the edges in L(G). Since  $K_r$  has r(r-1)/2 edges, the total number of edges in L(G) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{2}.$$

You can verify this for the graphs in (a) and (b).

(10) P has no 4-cycles. (To check this, pick a vertex and label the other vertices with their distances from the initial one. If there is a 4-cycle C containing the first one, the labels on C will be 0121. Then argue that you only have to check one other vertex, by symmetry.). It is clear that R does have some 4-cycles, so we must have  $P \neq R$ . Do the same thing you did to P to Q and you'll see that  $P \simeq Q$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$