

## ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY: MONDAY, APRIL 3

### 1. PROPERTIES AND DEFINITIONS

We will explore many new definitions for schemes.

**Definition 1.1.** A scheme is **connected** if its topological space is connected. Otherwise, it is said to be **disconnected**.

**Definition 1.2.** A scheme is **irreducible** if its topological space is irreducible. Otherwise, it is said to be **reducible**.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy)$ . This is reducible:  $X = V((x)) \cup V((y))$ . (Why?  $X = \{p \in \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy)\}$ , which means  $X$  is the set of prime ideals in  $k[x, y]$  containing  $(xy)$ , a prime  $p$  contains  $xy$  if and only if  $p$  contains  $x$  or  $y$ , i.e.  $p \in V((x))$  or  $p \in V((y))$ .)

Geometrically, what is going on? The prime ideals of  $\text{Spec } k[x, y]$  are  $(0)$ , the maximal ideals  $\{(x - a, y - b) \mid a, b \in k\}$ , or  $(f)$  where  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial. Because the prime ideals of  $\text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy)$  are the ideals of  $\text{Spec } k[x, y]$  that contain  $xy$ , we can say

$$\text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy) = \{\{(x, y - b) \mid b \in k\}, \{(x - a, y) \mid a \in k\}, (x), (y)\}.$$

Note that  $V((x)) = \{\{(x, y - b) \mid b \in k\}, (x)\}$  and  $V((y)) = \{\{(x - a, y) \mid a \in k\}, (y)\}$ , so we see exactly that

$$\text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy) = V((x)) \cup V((y)).$$

Graphing, the maximal ideals of  $V((x)) = \{\{(x, y - b) \mid b \in k\}, (x)\}$  correspond to the points in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with  $x = 0$  and  $y = b$ , and then  $(x)$  corresponds to the generic point (which lives ‘everywhere’ on  $V((x))$ ), so the geometric object is the line  $(x = 0)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Similarly,  $V((y))$  is the geometric object  $(y = 0)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , so the picture consists of two lines, each closed in the Zariski topology, which tells us the space is not irreducible.

**Definition 1.4.** A scheme  $X$  is **reduced** if, for every open subset  $U$ , the ring  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  has no nilpotent elements. Otherwise, it is said to be **non-reduced**.

**Definition 1.5.** A scheme  $X$  is **integral** if, for every open subset  $U$ , the ring  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is an integral domain.

**Exercise 1.6.** If  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is an affine scheme, then  $X$  is reduced if and only if  $A$  has no nilpotents, and integral if and only if  $A$  is integral. (Hint: write open sets  $U$  as unions of localizations of  $A$ , and relate nilpotents/zero divisors in localizations to those in  $A$ .)

**Example 1.7.** Going back to the previous example  $X = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(xy)$ , the ring  $k[x, y]/(xy)$  is not a domain ( $x, y \neq 0$  but  $xy = 0$ ), so  $X$  is not integral, but it has no nilpotents, so  $X$  is reduced.

**Example 1.8.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^2)$ . The ring  $k[x]/(x^2)$  has a nilpotent element  $x$ , so  $X$  is not reduced.

**Proposition 1.9.**  $X$  is integral if and only if it is reduced and irreducible.

*Proof.* If  $X$  is integral, then  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is an integral domain, which means  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  has no nilpotents, so  $X$  is reduced. If  $X$  is reducible, then there exist disjoint open subsets  $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ , but because they are disjoint,  $\mathcal{O}(U_1 \cup U_2) = \mathcal{O}(U_1) \times \mathcal{O}(U_2)$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(U_1 \cup U_2)$  is not an integral domain! (For example,  $(1, 0) \cdot (0, 1) = (0, 0)$ .) Therefore,  $X$  integral also implies  $X$  irreducible.

For the converse, we prove it only in the affine case. (Exercise: prove it in the general case!) Suppose that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is reduced and irreducible, and consider  $f, g \in A$  with  $fg = 0$ . We must show that  $A$  is integral, meaning either  $f$  or  $g$  is 0. Let  $Y = V((f))$  and  $Z = V((g))$ . Because  $fg = 0$ ,  $V((f)) \cup V((g)) = V((fg)) = V((0)) = X$ . Because  $X$  was irreducible, we must have either  $V((f)) = X$  or  $V((g)) = X$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $X = V((f))$ . Therefore,  $V((f)) = \text{Spec } A = V((0))$ , so  $f \in \sqrt{(0)}$ . This means  $f$  is nilpotent, but  $X$  was reduced, so this implies  $f = 0$ . Therefore,  $X$  is integral.  $\square$