

NOVEMBER 28 NOTES

1. 10.1: INTRODUCTION TO MODULES

Reminder. If G was a group and A was a set, we said that G acted on A if there was a map $G \times A \rightarrow A$ such that $(g, a) \mapsto g \cdot a$ satisfying:

- (1) $1 \cdot a = a$ and
- (2) $g \cdot (h \cdot a) = (gh) \cdot a$.

If we were to analogously define an action of a ring on a set M , we would want it to be compatible with the ring axioms, i.e.: $r(sm) = (rs)m$ and $(r+s)m = rm+sm$. This leads us to the definition of a *module*. Note that the symbols $rm+sm$ having meaning requires that there is a binary operation $+$ on the set M (and commutativity of $+$ in R would require this to be commutative as well).

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring. A **left R -module** or just an **R -module** is a set M together with

- (1) A binary operation $+$ on M for which M is an abelian group
- (2) An action of R on M , denoted by rm , satisfying, for all $r, s \in R, m, n \in M$
 - (a) $(r+s)m = rm+sm$
 - (b) $(rs)m = r(sm)$
 - (c) $r(m+n) = rm+rn$
 - (d) If r has identity, $1m = m$.

Remark 1.2. If R is a field F , these axioms defining a module are exactly the definition of a vector space V . In other words, vector spaces over F are the same as modules over F .

Definition 1.3. If M is an R -module, an **R submodule** of M is a subgroup $N \leq M$ that is closed under the action of the ring elements, i.e. $rn \in N$ for all $r \in R, n \in N$.

Using the criteria to be a subgroup, we have the following criteria to determine if N is a submodule:

Proposition 1.4. *If R is a ring and M is an R module, a subset N of M is a submodule of M if and only if $N \neq \emptyset$ and $x+ry \in N$ for all $r \in R$ and $x, y \in N$.*

- Example 1.5.**
- (1) If R is a ring, then R is a R -module where the action is given by multiplication of ring elements.
 - (2) Let $R^n = R \times \cdots \times R$ (n times). This is an R -module where $r(a_1, \dots, a_n) := (ra_1, \dots, ra_n)$. This is called the **free module** of rank n over R .
 - (3) If M is an R -module and S is a subring of R (with $1_S = 1_R$), then M is also an S -module. For example, because \mathbb{R} is a \mathbb{R} -module, it is also a \mathbb{Z} -module.

Definition 1.6. If M is an R -module and I is an ideal of R , we say that I **annihilates** M if $am = 0$ for all $a \in I, m \in M$.

Example 1.7. If M is an R module and I annihilates M , then M is an R/I module by the action $(r+I)m := rm$.

Example 1.8. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and A be any abelian group (written additively). Then, A is a \mathbb{Z} module with action $na = a + a + \cdots + a$ (n times) for $n > 0$, $na = 0$ if $n = 0$, and $na = -a + \cdots + -a$ if $n < 0$. This satisfies the axioms for A to be a \mathbb{Z} module. Note that *any* module is by definition an abelian group, so we have shown that abelian groups are the same as \mathbb{Z} -modules.

By definition of submodule, we also have that submodules are the same as subgroups of abelian groups.

If there is some integer n such that $na = 0$ for all $a \in A$ (for example, if $n = |A|$), then A is a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module.

Example 1.9. Suppose F is a field and $R = F[x]$. Let V be a vector space over F and $T : V \rightarrow V$ a linear transformation. We can use T to define an action of R on V so that V is a module over $F[x]$. If $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in F[x]$ and $v \in V$, then we define

$$p(x)v = (a_n T^n + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0)v$$

where T^i means apply the transformation T i times.

By properties of polynomials and linear transformations, this satisfies the axioms for V to be an $F[x]$ -module.

Note that this action depends on T ; i.e. any choice of T makes V into a $F[x]$ -module, but these module structures can be all different!

A special type of R -module is called an R -algebra.

Definition 1.10. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An R -**algebra** is a ring A with identity and a ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow A$ such that $f(1_R) = 1_A$ and $f(R)$ is contained in the center of A .

This is a special type of R -module that happens to be a ring; the action is given by $ra := f(r)a$ (where $f(r)a$ is just the multiplication of $f(r)$ and a in the ring A).

Definition 1.11. If A and B are two R -algebra, an R -**algebra homomorphism** is a ring homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi(1_A) = 1_B$ and $\phi(ra) = r\phi(a)$ for all $r \in R, a \in A$.

Example 1.12. If R is a commutative ring, let $A = R[x]$. This has a natural homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow A$ given by $f(r) = r$. This also satisfies that $f(1_R) = 1_A$ and $f(R)$ is contained in the center of A so A is indeed an R -algebra.

2. 10.2: QUOTIENT MODULES AND MODULE HOMOMORPHISMS

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and let M and N be R -modules.

- (1) A map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -**module homomorphism** if, for all $x, y \in M$ and $r \in R$:

$$\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$

$$\phi(rx) = r\phi(x)$$

- (2) A bijective homomorphism of modules is an **isomorphism**.

- (3) If $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of modules, then

$$\ker \phi = \{m \in M \mid \phi(m) = 0\}$$

$$\phi(M) = \text{im} \phi = \{n \in N \mid n = \phi(m) \text{ for some } m \in M\}$$

- (4) If M and N are R -modules, define $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ to be the set of all R -module homomorphisms from M to N .

Proposition 2.2. Let $M, N,$ and L be R -modules.

- (1) A map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -module homomorphism if and only if, for all $x, y \in M$ and $r \in R$,

$$\phi(rx + y) = r\phi(x) + \phi(y).$$

- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an abelian group, where the group structure is given by $(\phi + \psi)(m) = \phi(m) + \psi(m)$, and if R is commutative, it is an R -module where the action is given by $(r\phi)(m) = r(\phi(m))$.

- (3) If $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(L, M)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, then $\psi \circ \phi \in \text{Hom}_R(L, N)$.

(4) If we define multiplication as function composition, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is a ring with identity. If R is commutative, it is an R -algebra.

Proof. For (1), the forward implication holds by definition. For the converse, if $r = 1$, then the equality implies $\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$, and if $y = 0$, the equality implies that $\phi(rx) = r\phi(x)$, so ϕ is an R -module homomorphism.

For (2), we leave checking that $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an abelian group as an exercise. To show it is an R -module, since we have defined the action, we just need to verify that $r\phi$ as defined is an R -module homomorphism. This holds because:

$$\begin{aligned} (r\phi)(ax + y) &= r(\phi(ax + y)) && \text{by definition} \\ &= r(a\phi(x) + \phi(y)) && \text{because } \phi \text{ is a homomorphism} \\ &= ra\phi(x) + r\phi(y) && \text{because } N \text{ is a module} \\ &= ar\phi(x) + r\phi(y) && \text{because } R \text{ is commutative} \\ &= a(r\phi)(x) + (r\phi)(y) \end{aligned}$$

so this is an R -module homomorphism.

For (3), we need to show that $\psi \circ \phi$ is an R -module homomorphism. Using (1), this is straightforward from the definition, so we leave this as an exercise.

For (4), one checks that $\text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is a ring with identity equal to the identity function—this is an exercise. To show it is an algebra, let $f : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ be the function sending r to the homomorphism that is multiplication by r (which we still denote by r), and define $\phi r := r\phi$ for all $r \in R$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$. This makes $\text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ an R -algebra. \square

Definition 2.3. The **endomorphism ring** of an R -module is the ring $\text{End}_R(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$. Elements of this ring are called **endomorphisms**.

Finally, note that modules are abelian groups, so if N is a submodule of M , then N is normal in M . And, if M is an R -module, then the quotient group M/N is an R -module where the action on the cosets is given by $r(x + N) = rx + N$. With this observation, we have the same isomorphism theorems as usual.

Theorem 2.4. (1) (*The First Isomorphism Theorem.*) If $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -module homomorphism, then the kernel of ϕ is a submodule of M and $M/\ker \phi \cong \phi(M)$.

(2) (*The Second Isomorphism Theorem.*) Let A, B be submodules of an R -module M . Then, defining $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$, $(A + B)/B \cong A/(A \cap B)$.

(3) (*The Third Isomorphism Theorem.*) Let M be an R -module and A, B be submodules with $A \subset B$. Then, $(M/A)/(B/A) \cong M/B$.

(4) The submodules of M/N are precisely the submodules of M containing N .