

1. (17 points) Let  $\theta$  be an angle, such that  $\sin(\theta) \neq 0$ , and let  $A := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$  be the matrix of the rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  about the origin by angle  $\theta$  counterclockwise.
- (a) The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $h(x) = x^2 - 2\cos(\theta)x + 1$ .
- (b) The minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  of  $A$  is equal to its characteristic polynomial  $x^2 - 2\cos(\theta)x + 1$ . Two ways to see it are: If we work over the complex numbers, then the equality  $h(x) = m(x)$  follows, since the two roots  $\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)i$  and  $\cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta)i$  of  $h(x)$  are distinct, and  $m(x)$  and  $h(x)$  have the same set of roots, and  $m(x)$  divides  $h(x)$ , by the Cayley Hamilton Theorem. Over the real numbers the equality  $m(x) = h(x)$  follows, since  $h(x)$  is a prime polynomial,  $m(x)$  has positive degree, and  $m(x)$  divides  $h(x)$ .
- (c)  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , since the minimal polynomial factors as a product of linear terms with distinct roots  $m(x) = (x - [\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)i])(x - [\cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta)i])$ .
- (d)  $A$  is not similar to a diagonal matrix in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ , since its minimal polynomial is prime in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ .

2. (17 points) Set  $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $x^2 + 1$ . Its two eigenvalues are  $i$  and  $-i$ .

(b) A basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ . We find first a basis for the  $i$ -eigenspace  $\text{null}(A - iI)$ , then for the  $-i$  eigenspace  $\text{null}(A + iI)$ , and take their union as a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

$$A - iI = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $\text{null}(A - iI)$  is spanned by  $v_1 := \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$A + iI = \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $\text{null}(A + iI)$  is spanned by  $v_2 := \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(c) Find an invertible matrix  $P$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$ , both in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , such that  $P^{-1}AP = D$ .

**Answer:** Take  $P := (v_1 v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $D = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ .

3. (17 points) Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by multiplication by  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 9 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) The characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is  $h(x) = (x - 1)^2$ .

(b) The minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  of  $T$  divides  $h(x)$ . If  $m(x) = x - 1$ , then  $A = I$ , which is false. Hence,  $m(x) = (x - 1)^2$ .

- (c)  $T$  is not diagonalizable, since its minimal polynomial is a product of linear terms with repeated roots.
- (d) The unique eigenvalue of  $T$  is the scalar 1.
- (e) As a basis for the 1-eigenspace of  $T$  we can take  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (f) Find an upper triangular matrix  $B$  and an invertible matrix  $P$ , such that  $B = P^{-1}AP$ . Carefully explain, in complete sentences, your method for finding  $P$ . Credit will not be given for an answer obtained by trial and error.

**Answer:** The proof of the Triangular Form Theorem dictates, that we should choose a basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \text{null}((A - I)^2)$ , so that  $(A - I)v_1 = 0$ . Take  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The change of basis matrix is  $P = (v_1 v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is upper triangular.

4. (17 points)

- (a) Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space,  $T$ ,  $D$ , and  $N$ , three linear transformations in  $L(V, V)$ , such that  $T = D + N$ . State the three properties that  $D$  and  $N$  need to satisfy, in order for the above to be the Jordan decomposition of  $T$ .

**Answer:** i)  $D$  is diagonalizable, ii)  $N$  is nilpotent, iii)  $DN = ND$ .

- (b) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Note, that  $P^{-1}AP = B$ .

- i. The Jordan decomposition of  $B$  is  $B = D' + N'$ , where  $D' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

and  $N' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- ii. The Jordan decomposition  $A = D + N$  of  $A$  is:

$$A = PBP^{-1} = P(D' + N')P^{-1} = PD'P^{-1} + PN'P^{-1}.$$

We see that  $D = PD'P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $N = PN'P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- iii. We verify that the matrices  $D$  and  $N$  in part 4(b)ii satisfy the properties in part 4a, by a direct calculation.

- iv. Using Jordan decomposition of  $A$  we calculate:

$A^k = (D + N)^k = D^k + kD^{k-1}N + \dots$ , where the other terms involve powers  $N^i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , which vanish. Hence,

$$A^k = \begin{pmatrix} 2^k & 0 \\ 0 & 2^k \end{pmatrix} + k \begin{pmatrix} 2^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-k)2^k & k2^{k+1} \\ -k2^{k-1} & (k+1)2^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. (17 points) Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with an inner product and  $u$  a unit vector in  $V$ . Recall, that the reflection  $R$  of  $V$ , with respect to the subspace  $u^\perp$  orthogonal to  $u$ , is given by  $R(v) = v - 2(v, u)u$ .

- (a)  $R^2(v) = R(R(v)) = R(v - 2(v, u)u) = [v - 2(v, u)u] - 2([v - 2(v, u)u], u)u = [v - 2(v, u)u] + 2(v, u)u = v$ . Hence,  $R^2 = 1$ .
- (b) The minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  of  $R$  divides  $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ , since  $R^2 - 1 = 0$ . If  $m(x) = x - 1$ , then  $R = 1$ , which it is clearly not, by part 5d. If  $m(x) = x + 1$ , then  $R = -1$ , and the  $-1$  eigenspace is the whole of  $V$ . This is the case, precisely if  $V$  is one dimensional, by part 5d. Hence, if  $n = 1$ , then  $m(x) = x + 1$ , and if  $n \geq 2$ , then  $m(x) = x^2 - 1$ .
- (c)  $R$  is diagonalizable, since its minimal polynomial is a product of linear terms with distinct roots.
- (d) We compute the  $-1$  eigenspace of  $R$  by solving the equation  $R(v) = -v$ , which is equivalent to  $v - 2(v, u)u = -v$ . Solving for  $v$  in terms of  $u$ , we get that  $v$  is in the  $-1$  eigenspace, if and only if  $v = (v, u)u$ , i.e., if and only if  $v$  is a scalar multiple of  $u$ .
- (e) The characteristic polynomial of  $R$  is  $h(x) = (x - 1)^{d_+}(x + 1)^{d_-}$ , where  $d_+$  is the dimension of the  $+1$  eigenspace  $\text{null}(R - 1)$  and  $d_-$  is the dimension of the  $-1$  eigenspace  $\text{null}(R + 1)$ , since  $R$  is diagonalizable. Now  $d_- = 1$ , by part 5d, and  $d_+ + d_- = n$ , since the characteristic polynomial has degree  $n$ . Hence,  $d_+ = n - 1$  (We also saw in class several times, that the  $+1$  eigenspace is  $u^\perp$ , which is  $n - 1$  dimensional). We conclude, that  $h(x) = (x - 1)^{n-1}(x + 1)$ .
- (f) The trace  $\text{tr}(R)$  is the sum of the eigenvalues, repeated according to their multiplicity in the characteristic polynomial. Hence,  $\text{tr}(R) = -1 + (n - 1)1 = n - 2$ .

6. (17 points) Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $F$ , and  $T : V \rightarrow V$  a linear transformation.

- (a) Let  $v \in V$  be an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and  $g(x) = c_n x^n + \dots + c_0$  a polynomial in  $F[x]$ . Show that  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $g(T)$  and find its eigenvalue.

**Answer:**  $T^n(v) = \lambda^n v$ . Hence,

$g(T)v = c_n T^n(v) + \dots + c_0 v = (c_n \lambda^n + \dots + c_0)v = g(\lambda)v$ . The eigenvalue is thus  $g(\lambda)$ .

- (b) Use part 6a to show, that every root of the characteristic polynomial  $h(x)$  of  $T$  is also a root of the minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  of  $T$  (without using the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem).

**Answer:** Let  $\lambda$  be a root of the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ . Then there exists a non-zero eigenvector  $v$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $m(T)v = m(\lambda)v$ , by the previous part. On the other hand,  $m(T) = 0$ , so  $m(T)v = 0$ . Hence,  $m(\lambda)v = 0$ , and thus  $m(\lambda) = 0$ .

7. (17 points) Let  $V$  be a four dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is  $h(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^2(x - \lambda_2)^2$ , and  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .
- (a) The four possible minimal polynomials  $m(x)$  of  $T$  (with leading coefficient 1) are:  $(x - \lambda_1)^{e_1}(x - \lambda_2)^{e_2}$ , where  $1 \leq e_1 \leq 2$ , and  $1 \leq e_2 \leq 2$ , since  $m(x)$  divides  $h(x)$  and  $m(x)$  and  $h(x)$  have the same set of roots.
- (b) Assume that the minimal polynomial of  $T$  is  $m(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{e_1}(x - \lambda_2)^{e_2}$ , set  $V_i := \text{null}[(T - \lambda_i \mathbf{1})^{e_i}]$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity transformation, and let  $T_i \in L(V_i, V_i)$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $V_i$ . Use the Primary Decomposition Theorem to show, that the minimal polynomial of  $T_i$  is  $(x - \lambda_i)^{e_i}$ . Hint: Show first that the minimal polynomial  $m_i(x)$  of  $T_i$  divides  $m(x)$  and the product  $g(x) := m_1(x)m_2(x)$  satisfies  $g(T) = 0$ .
- Answer:** Step 1 ( $m_i(x)$  divides  $m(x)$ ): Let  $v$  be a vector in  $V_i$ . Then  $T_i(v) = T(v)$ , by definition of  $T_i$ . So  $m(T_i)v = m(T)v = 0$ . Thus the minimal polynomial  $m_i(x)$  of  $T_i$  divides  $m(x)$ .
- Step 2 ( $g(T) = 0$ ): Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n_1}\}$  be a basis of  $V_1$ , and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n_2}\}$  a basis of  $V_2$ . Their union is a basis of  $V$ , so it suffices to show, that  $g(T)v_i = 0 = g(T)w_j$ . Now  $g(T)v_i = m_2(T)(m_1(T)v_i) = m_2(T)(0) = 0$ . Similarly,  $g(T)w_j = m_1(T)(m_2(T)w_j) = m_1(T)(0) = 0$ .
- Step 3:  $m(x)$  divides  $m_1(x)m_2(x)$ , since  $m_1(T)m_2(T) = 0$ , by Step 2. The product  $m_1(x)m_2(x)$  divides  $m(x)$ , since each factor does, by Step 1, and the two factors are relatively prime (they do not have a common factor). Hence,  $m(x) = m_1(x)m_2(x)$ , since both sides have leading coefficient 1.
- (c) Assuming that the minimal polynomial of  $T$  is  $(x - \lambda_1)^2(x - \lambda_2)$ , the dimensions of the null spaces of  $T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}$ ,  $(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})^2$ ,  $T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}$ , and  $(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})^2$  are:  $\dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}) = 1$ ,  $\dim \text{null}[(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})^2] = 2$ ,  $\dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}) = 2$ , and  $\dim \text{null}[(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})^2] = 2$ .

Reason: Let  $V_1 := \text{null}[(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})^2]$  and  $V_2 := \text{null}(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})$ . Then  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ , by the Primary Decomposition Theorem, and  $\dim(V_i) = 2$ , for both  $i = 1, 2$ , by the Triangular Form Theorem, since the multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue, as a root of the characteristic polynomial, is 2. This explains two of the above four equalities.

The equality  $\dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}) = 1$ : We know that  $\text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})$  is non-zero, since  $\lambda_1$  is an eigenvalue, and  $\text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})$  is contained in  $\text{null}[(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})^2]$ , which is two dimensional. Hence,  $1 \leq \dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}) \leq 2$ . If  $\dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}) = 2$ , then we would have had a basis of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ ,  $T$  would have been diagonalizable, and the minimal polynomial would have been  $(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)$ , which it is not.

The equality  $\dim \text{null}[(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})^2] = 2$ : This part was not needed for full credit.  $\dim \text{null}[(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})^2] \geq \dim \text{null}(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}) = 2$ . If strict inequality held, then there would have been a vector  $v$  in  $\text{null}[(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})^2]$ , which did not belong to  $\text{null}(T - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})$ . Then the order  $m_v(x)$  of  $v$  would have been  $(x - \lambda_2)^2$ . This is impossible, since the order  $m_v(x)$  divides the minimal polynomial.